



CITY COUNCIL AGENDA
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING PRESENTED LIVE ON KCLV, CABLE CHANNEL 2. THE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE REBROADCAST ON KCLV CHANNEL 2 THE WEDNESDAY OF THE MEETING AT 8:00 PM AND ARE ALSO REBROADCAST ON FRIDAY AT 4:00 AM, SATURDAY AT 7:00 PM, SUNDAY AT 7:00 AM AND THE FOLLOWING MONDAY AT 10:00 AM.

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
- INVOCATION – REVEREND JOEL RIVERS, CENTRAL CHRISTIAN CHURCH
- PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

MINUTES:

PRESENT: MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCIL MEMBERS REESE, M. McDONALD, BROWN, L.B. McDONALD, WEEKLY, and MACK

Also Present: CITY MANAGER VIRGINIA VALENTINE, CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN (A.M. Session), DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN (P.M. Session), and CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEMUS

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE - Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

Downtown Transportation Center, City Clerk's Board

Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road

Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Pkwy

Court Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall

City Hall Plaza, Posting Board

(9:06- 9:07)

1-1

REVEREND JOEL RIVERS, Central Christian Church, gave the invocation.

(9:07 – 9:09)

1-16

MAYOR GOODMAN led the audience in the Pledge.

(9:09 – 9:13)

1-81

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN invited RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, to come forward and join him in honoring LAURA GIULIANO, Environmental Division, as May's Employee of the Month. She was nominated by her division manager DAVID MENDENHALL, and laboratory superintendent DAN FISHER for her important role in successfully treating wastewater. The Environmental Division recently received the Association of Metropolitan Sewage Agencies Gold Award for the second consecutive year. The award is given to those treatment facilities that have made sure no contaminated water runs back to the river or lakes where the local drinking water comes from. The City of Las Vegas treats approximately 60 million gallons of water a day.

MS. GIULIANO thanked MR. FISHER, MR. MENDENHALL, and her fellow employees for making her place of work a nice place to work.

MAYOR GOODMAN encouraged the public to take a tour of the wastewater treatment facility, stating that it is very interesting to see how wastewater is treated and purified.

(9:10 – 9:13)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
ITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

PRESENTATION TO SENIOR OF THE QUARTER

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN announced that the Las Vegas Senior Citizens Advisory Board recently received a nomination from SUZANNE FAIN, Director of Volunteers at University Medical Center (UMC), recommending LONNIE DAVIS as the Senior of the Quarter. MR. DAVIS has been volunteering at UMC for more than three years and during that time, he has donated over 3,000 hours of service. He teaches smoking cessation classes, works at the information desk, and helps staff with the outpatient clinic. He exemplifies team spirit and does his work with passion and commitment.

MR. DAVIS thanked MS. FAIN for the nomination. He noted that he does not call what he does work; he calls it enjoyment.

MS. FAIN stated that she was happy to have MR. DAVIS represent the volunteers because he does so much with great commitment for the patients and is always willing to help out wherever his services are needed.

(9:15 – 9:16)

1-247

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

PRESENTATION TO WENDY DOYLE

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN and DAVID MENDENHALL, Environmental Division Manager, recognized WENDY DOYLE for being named the Nevada Water Environmental Association's Laboratory Analyst of the Year, which is presented annually to the scientist at a wastewater plant in Nevada making the most significant contribution to water quality. MAYOR GOODMAN congratulated MS. DOYLE.

MS. DOYLE thanked the City and MR. FISHER for allowing her to excel.

MR. MENDENHALL noted that MS. DOYLE's job is very important because she monitors that the facility is doing its job.

(9:13 – 9:15)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF EARL WHITE

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY recognized the members of the Downtown Weed and Seed Steering Committee for the fantastic job they do. He also gave recognition to EARL WHITE, who is a member of the Weed and Seed Committee, for the outstanding job he has done of mobilizing the residents and putting the Downtown Weed and Seed Steering Committee on the map. The Committee recently held a fair in East Las Vegas in which they provided a lot of community information and worked very closely with the Marshals and the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department in showing the various services that are available throughout the Valley. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY expressed his most sincere appreciation and presented MR. WHITE with a proclamation.

MR. WHITE thanked MAYOR GOODMAN for his vision. He indicated that the event that the Committee put together resulted from a consensus of the members and the citizens that something had to be done to work toward a drug-free and crime-free downtown area. He thanked COUNCILMAN WEEKLY for his support as well as Neighborhood Services staff members MARIA CASTILLO-COUCH, FRANKLIN SIMPSON, and especially SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director. He assured the Council that there are various people that will continue to be committed to making a difference in the Downtown area.

(9:16 – 9:20)

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Ceremonial Matters Continued

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY invited BILLIE BASTIAN, INGRID WILLIAMS, and STACEY ALBROOKS to join him in honoring the Destroyers Youth Wrestling Team. MS. WILLIAMS indicated that many of the members of this wrestling team are new and have done an excellent job. They all placed in the Southern Nevada Championship. She expressed her appreciation to the community for their donations as well as to Neighborhood Services staff for their assistance in obtaining Community Development Block Grant and Youth Athletics monies.

COACH DONALD GLOVER thanked the Council members, MS. WILLIAMS, and all the other people that contributed to this accomplishment. He presented each Council member with a medal on behalf of the Destroyers Youth Wrestling Team as a token of their appreciation.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY noted that the team members are wonderful athletes, but the program also focuses on academics. He thanked COACH GLOVER for his efforts as well as Leisure Services Administration staff for their support. He stressed that if the youth are given something positive to do and are instilled with the importance of a good education, they will not get involved in drugs and crime.

(9:20 – 9:25)

1-485

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF JO MACKEY STUDENTS

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK stated that on National Reading Day he had the fortune of reading at several elementary schools and these students really stayed on his mind because they read to him and they are excellent readers. He welcomed the young fourth grade students from Jo Mackey Magnet School and their teacher MRS. WILLIAMS. The students would also be sitting with the Council members for a portion of the morning session. The Council members welcomed the students.

(9:25 – 9:28)

1-586

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Any items from the morning session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 8 and 9 to 6/20/2001 and STRIKE Items 75 and 76 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:28 – 9:30)

1-701

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Approval of the Final Minutes by reference of the Regular City Council Meeting of April 18, 2001 and Special City of Las Vegas City Council and Redevelopment Agency Meeting of April 25, 2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED by Reference - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

1-761

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division Accounting Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In compliance with the City's Municipal Code, Chapter 4.12, this is an informational item that provides the dollar amount of disbursements processed by the Finance and Business Services Department.

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Summary of cash expenditures for the period 04/01/01 - 04/15/01

Total Services and Materials Checks	\$ 18,437,495.52
Total Payroll Checks	\$ 4,207,410.75
Total Wire Transfers	\$ 13,613,313.47
Other Checks and Investments	\$ 298,330.30

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 3 – Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK noted that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council.

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Cheri Epps, dba ABC's and 123's, 1314 Griffith Ave., Cheri Epps, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, **McDONALD** abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and **GOODMAN** abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: **DENIED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED** under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE** to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Heather Vander Meer, 8805 Big Bear Pines Ave., Heather Vander Meer, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, **McDONALD** abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and **GOODMAN** abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: **DENIED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED** under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE** to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Child Care Center/Preschool License subject to the provisions of the fire and planning codes, Health Dept. and Licensing regulations, Reynaldo Martinez Early Childhood Center, 2901 Harris Ave., E. Diana Goff, Administrator, Licensee/License Holder, Child & Family Services Division, Economic Opportunity Board of Clark County - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Child Care Center/Preschool License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire and planning codes, Health Dept. and Licensing regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Child Care Center/Preschool License subject to the provisions of the fire and planning codes, Health Dept. and Licensing regulations, Seton Academy West, LLC, dba Seton Academy West, 3801 North Campbell, Mary J. Hayes, Licensee, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Child Care Center/Preschool License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire and planning codes, Health Dept. and Licensing regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Licensee/License Holder for a Child Care Center/Nursery/Preschool License, ARAMARK Educational Resources, dba Children's World Learning Center, 2600 Lake Sahara Drive, From: Beverly O'Connell, Area VP, Licensee/License Holder, To: Cora J. Anderson, Reg Mgr, Licensee/License Holder - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Licensee/License Holder for a Child Care Center/Nursery/Preschool License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 8 and 9 to 6/20/2001 and STRIKE Items 75 and 76 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:28 – 9:30)

1-701

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Licensee/License Holder for a Child Care Center/Nursery/Preschool License, ARAMARK Educational Resources, dba Children's World Learning Center, 2861 Business Park Court, From: Beverly O'Connell, Area VP, Licensee/License Holder, To: Cora J. Anderson, Reg Mgr, Licensee/License Holder - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Licensee/License Holder for a Child Care Center/Nursery/Preschool License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 8 and 9 to 6/20/2001 and STRIKE Items 75 and 76 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:28 – 9:30)

1-701

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Albertson's, Inc., dba Albertson's Express #6008, 4401 East Bonanza Rd., Kaye L. O'Riordan, Secy, John F. Boyd, Treas - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Gift Shop Limited Liquor License, Marshall Management Company, dba Fremont Gift Shop, 200 Fremont St., Todd Marshall, Dir, Pres, Treas, 70%, Cari Marshall, Dir Secy, 30% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Gift Shop Limited Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Gift Shop Limited Liquor License, Marshall Management Company, dba California Gift Shop, 12 East Ogden Ave., Todd Marshall, Dir, Pres, Treas, 70%, Cari Marshall, Dir, Secy, 30% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Gift Shop Limited Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Gift Shop Limited Liquor License, Marshall Management Company, dba The Company Store, 200 North Main St., Todd Marshall, Dir, Pres, Treas, 70%, Cari Marshall, Dir, Secy, 30% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Gift Shop Limited Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Officer for a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License, Rubio's Baja Grill, 9310 West Sahara Ave., Theodore E. Frumkin, II, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Officer for a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, **McDONALD** abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and **GOODMAN** abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: **DENIED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED** under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE** to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)
1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Officer for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, Albertson's, Inc., dba Albertson's Express, #6008, Peter L. Lynch, Pres - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Officer for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, **McDONALD** abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and **GOODMAN** abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: **DENIED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED** under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE** to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Officer for a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License, Rubio's Baja Grill, 1910 Village Center Cir., Suite 9, Theodore E. Frumkin, II, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Officer for a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, **McDONALD** abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and **GOODMAN** abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: **DENIED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED** under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE** to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)
1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Officer for a Package Liquor License, Albertson's, Inc., dba Albertson's #6011, 1650 North Buffalo Dr., Peter L. Lynch, Pres - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Officer for a Package Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, **McDONALD** abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and **GOODMAN** abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: **DENIED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED** under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE** to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)
1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Officer for a Package Liquor License, Albertson's, Inc., dba Albertson's #6090, 7075 West Ann Rd., Peter L. Lynch, Pres - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Officer for a Package Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, **McDONALD** abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and **GOODMAN** abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: **DENIED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED** under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE** to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)
1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Manager for a Restaurant Service Bar Liquor License, Hamada Resort, Inc., dba Hamada of Japan, 221 North Rampart Blvd., Suite 7144, Munenori Kawagoe, Gen Mgr - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Manager for a Restaurant Service Bar License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Manager for a Supper Club Liquor License, Hamada Towers, Inc., dba Hamada Asian Village, 2000 Las Vegas Blvd., South, Munenori Kawagoe, Gen Mgr - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Manager for a Supper Club Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, **McDONALD** abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and **GOODMAN** abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: **DENIED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED** under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE** to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Manager for a Tavern Liquor License and a Non-restricted Limited Gaming License for 20 slots subject to continued compliance with Gaming Control Board filing requirements, Loose Caboose-Nellis, Inc., dba Loose Caboose Saloon, 15 North Nellis Blvd., Suite A1, Randall W. Tidwell, Gen Mgr - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Manager for a Tavern Liquor License and a Non-restricted Limited Gaming License for 20 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to continued compliance with Gaming Control Board filing requirements

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots, Jamil A. Nesson, dba J & D Mini Market, 900 North Martin L. King Blvd., Jamil A. Nesson, 100% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend denial

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY – DENIED – motion carried with GOODMAN and BROWN voting NO and MACK and M. McDONALD abstaining because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and they both serve on that board

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

NOTE: At the request of MR. DiFIORE, the matter was pulled from the Consent Agenda under Item No. 3 and trailed for discussion after Item No. 86.

APPEARANCES:

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Finance and Business Services

JAMIL A. NESSAN, 900 North Martin Luther King Boulevard

DOYLE DAVIS, representing Capado Gaming

SERGEANT PAUL PAGE, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

(9:33/12:16 – 12:42)

1-776/3-330

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots, Cardivan Company, db at Albertson's Express #6008, 4401 East Bonanza Rd. - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Hypnotist License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Christine Essex, dba Christine Essex, 1833 West Charleston Blvd., Christine S. Essex, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Hypnotist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Vicki Leah Agao, dba Vicki Leah Agao, 9410 West Sahara Ave., Vicki L. Agao, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, **McDONALD** abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and **GOODMAN** abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: **DENIED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED** under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE** to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Celeste Harlow, dba Celestial Connection Massage Therapy, 9133 Jewel Crystal Court, Celeste S. Harlow, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Sheryl Moody, dba Great Escape, 7310 Smoke Ranch Rd., Suite M, Sheryl L. Moody, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, **McDONALD** abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and **GOODMAN** abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: **DENIED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED** under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE** to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)
1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Sheri Chanel, dba Sheri Chanel, 2451 North Rainbow Blvd., Unit 2090, Sheri L. Chanel, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, **McDONALD** abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and **GOODMAN** abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: **DENIED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED** under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE** to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Rae Anne Cariker, dba Rae Anne Cariker, 7529 Pinto Bluff St., Rae A. Cariker, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Candy Kay Hetzel, dba Candy Kay Hetzel, 5820 West La Madre Way, Candy K. Hetzel, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)
1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Rebecca A. Manor, dba Becca Kneads You, From: 8600 Starboard Dr., #1215, To: 7310 Smoke Ranch Rd., Suite M, Rebecca A. Manor, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Jerome Vital, dba Jerome Vital, From: 909 Cambridge Cross Place, To: 7310 Smoke Ranch Rd., Suite M, Jerome Vital, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Natalia E. Mendez, dba Natalia E. Mendez, From: 4942 Roswell St., To: 3800 South Nellis Blvd., #282, Natalia E. Mendez, 100% - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Sarah L. Devito, dba Artistic Kneads, From: 6436 Doby Peak Dr., To: 10028 West Cherokee Ave., Sara L. Devito, 100% - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Luke Jackson, dba Luke Jackson, From: 4428 Casa Sencia St., To: 3335 Deuce St., Luther E. Jackson, 100% - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Lucy M. Wojcik, dba Desert Escape, From: 5158 South Jones Blvd., Unit 108, To: 4975 Duneville St., Unit 208, Lucy M. Wojcik, 100% - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Martial Arts License subject to the provisions of the fire codes, Reylson Gracie, Inc., dba Reylson Gracie Jiu-Jitsu, 1928 Rock Springs Dr., Reylson Gracie, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Martial Arts License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for a Martial Arts License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Mahato Karate Association of Nevada, Inc., dba Mahato Karate Association of Nevada, Inc., From: 820 South Valley View Blvd., Suite C, To: 8221 West Charleston Blvd., Suite 107, Howard D. Sawyer, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for a Martial Arts License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, **McDONALD** abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and **GOODMAN** abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: **DENIED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED** under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE** to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License, Sharon Lane, dba Total Image Beauty Salon, 6010 West Cheyenne Ave., Suite 12, Sharon M. Lane, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, **McDONALD** abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and **GOODMAN** abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: **DENIED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED** under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE** to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Psychic Art and Science License, Craig Norton, dba El Angel, 2210 East Bonanza Rd., Craig Norton, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Psychic Art and Science License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, **McDONALD** abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and **GOODMAN** abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: **DENIED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED** under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE** to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)
1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Class II Secondhand Dealer License subject to the provisions of the fire codes, From: RGL, Inc., dba American Jewelry & Coin, Robert G. Lartigaut, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100%, To: The Cash Exchange & Money Store, Inc., dba The Cash Exchange & Money Store, Inc., 616 Las Vegas Blvd., South, Suite C., Carl A. Jensen, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Class II Secondhand Dealer License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, **McDONALD** abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and **GOODMAN** abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: **DENIED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED** under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE** to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Ownership, Business Name and Reclassification for a Class I-B Secondhand Dealer License, From: Jolynn Renee Ayala, dba J.D. Enterprises, Jolynn R. Ayala, 100%, To: Class III-B Secondhand Dealer License, Silver State Pallets, Inc., dba Silver State Pallets, 1921 Western Ave., Michael J. Griffin, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Ownership, Business Name and Reclassification for a Class I-B Secondhand Dealer License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, **McDONALD** abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and **GOODMAN** abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: **DENIED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED** under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE** to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of award of Bid Number 01.1730.13-RC, US 95/Rancho Sewer - Phase 1B, Coran Lane to Redondo Avenue; and approve the construction conflicts and contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: LAS VEGAS PAVING CORPORATION (\$9,671,505 - Enterprise Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$9,671,505☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Eng. Design☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Enterprise Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Project is the installation of approx. 4,580 linear feet of 60" sewer line installed by tunneling methods. Work includes a reinforced concrete diversion structure; dewatering; treatment and disposal of hydrocarbon contaminated soil and groundwater; removal and replacement of existing asphalt concrete, sidewalks, curbs and gutters, valley gutters, median islands, fences, etc. Work begins at a connection point with the existing 42" Westside Interceptor Sewer at Coran Lane and Rancho Drive and extends south on Rancho Drive within NDOT & CLV right-of-way.

POC: (702) 251-5800

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 01.1730.13-RC, US 95/Rancho Sewer - Phase 1B, Coran Lane to Redondo Avenue to Las Vegas Paving Corporation, in the amount of \$9,671,505, and approve a construction conflicts and contingency reserve of \$500,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, **McDONALD** abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and **GOODMAN** abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 43 – Bid No. 01.1730.13-RC

MOTION – Continued:

Item 22: **DENIED under separate action** (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action** (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to award Bid Number 01.15301.07-LED, Fire Station #10 and approve the construction conflicts and contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: J.A. VAY & SONS, INC. (\$2,620,500 - Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$2,620,500

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Work consists of the construction of a new 10,500 square foot Fire Station with both on-site and off-site improvements. The building is masonry and metal framing, stucco, concrete tile and single ply roof. The location of the new fire station is 1501 South Martin Luther King Blvd., Las Vegas.

POC: David E. Aegerter - (702) 399-0277

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 01.15301.07-LED, Fire Station #10 to J.A. Vay & Sons, Inc., in the amount of \$2,620,500 and approve the construction conflicts and contingency reserve of \$217,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Finance and Business Services
Item 44 – Bid No. 01.15301.07-LED

MOTION – Continued:

Item 22: **DENIED under separate action** (see individual item)
Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)
Item 64: **ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action** (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)
1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of award of Bid Number 01.1762.01-RC, 2000-2001 Annual Concrete Replacement - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: MIKON CONSTRUCTION COMPANY INC. (Estimated annual amount \$1,800,000 - Special Revenue Fund) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,800,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Streets☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Special Revenue Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bid represents Street Rehabilitation's Annual Contract for Concrete Replacement consisting of removal and replacement of portland cement concrete curb and gutter, sidewalks, driveways, and median islands. All work will be performed within the City of Las Vegas. The location and extent of any additional work shall be designated by the engineer and scheduled based upon availability of funding. The contract term will be from the date of award through May 1, 2002, including four (4) one-year options to renew.

POC: Michael Ray - (702) 368-0822

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 01.1762.01-RC, 2000-2001 Annual Concrete Replacement to Mikon Construction Co., Inc., in the estimated annual amount of \$1,800,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 45 – Bid No. 01.1762.01-RC

MOTION – Continued:

Item 22: **DENIED under separate action** (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action** (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of award of Bid Number 01.1762.02-RC, 2000-2001 Annual Small Asphalt Patching - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: MIKON CONSTRUCTION COMPANY INC. (Estimated annual amount \$900,000 - Special Revenue Fund) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount: \$900,000**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: Public Works/Streets**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: Special Revenue Fund****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bid represents Street Rehabilitation's Annual Contract for Small Asphalt Patching consisting of construction of permanent asphaltic concrete pavement patches performed within the City of Las Vegas. Specific areas have been identified in the bid document and will be the first work scheduled. The location and extent of any additional work shall be designated by the Project Manager and scheduled based upon availability of funding. The contract term will be from the date of award through May 1, 2002, including four (4) one-year options to renew.

POC: Michael Ray - (702) 368-0822

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 01.1762.02-RC, 2000-2001 Annual Small Asphalt Patching to Mikon Construction Co., Inc., in the estimated annual amount of \$900,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 46 – Bid No. 01.1762.02-RC

MOTION – Continued:

Item 22: **DENIED under separate action** (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action** (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 01.1762.04-RC, Annual Crack Seal - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: CPM WEST (Estimated annual amount \$500,000 - Special Revenue Fund) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$500,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Streets & San.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Special Revenue Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Pavement Managements' Annual Contract for Crack Seal. Work consists of removal of dirt and debris from pavement cracks and application of herbicide to cracks containing vegetation. After surface preparations, rubber asphalt crack sealant shall be applied as directed within the CLV right-of-way or on CLV property. The location and extent of any additional work shall be designated by the Engineer and scheduled based upon availability of funding. The contract term will be from the date of award through May 1, 2002, including four one-year renewal options.

POC: Cory Newcombe - (702) 251-8000

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 01.1762.04-RC, Annual Crack Seal to CPM WEST, in the estimated annual amount of \$500,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 47 – Bid No. 01.1762.04-RC

MOTION – Continued:

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of rejection of bidder and award of Bid Number 010079-TC, for the purchase of Street Sweepers and Tractor Loader Backhoe - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: Lot II, Tractor Loader Backhoe - HERTZ EQUIPMENT (\$57,749 - Capital Projects Fund) and Lot III, Regenerative Sweeper - SNE EQUIPMENT (\$201,130 - Capital Projects Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$258,879

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Field Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Two regenerative sweepers and one tractor loader backhoe for use by Streets and Sanitation.

Award of Lot I is postponed until the sample machine is tested and approved.

The low bidder for Lot II is disqualified and deemed non-responsive based upon Instruction to Bidders, paragraph 9 (a) and (f), Disqualification of Bidders, which is considered material in nature. Lot II will be awarded to the second low bidder.

Lot III will be awarded to the low bidder.

POC: Lot II - Hertz Equipment - Rick Roche - (702) 210-8090

POC: Lot III - SNE Equipment - Lee Tonan - (702) 320-6500

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the rejection of bidder and approve the award of bid number 010079-TC, purchase of two sweepers and one tractor loader backhoe to Hertz Equipment in the amount of \$57,749 for Lot II and SNE Equipment in the amount of \$201,130 for Lot III.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 48 – Bid No. 010079-TC

MOTION – Continued:

Item 22: **DENIED under separate action** (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action** (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the issuance of a Purchase Order for an Annual Requirements Contract for Hycor Bar Screen OEM Parts for the period from date of award through June 30, 2002, with renewal options as long as the bidding exemption exists (DR) - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: PARKSON CORP. (\$250,000 - Enterprise Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$250,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Environmental

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Enterprise Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for an annual requirements contract for replacement of original equipment manufacturer (OEM) parts for the Hycor Bar Screens at the Water Pollution Control Facility's Bar Screen Building. The contract period will be from date of award through June 30, 2002 with one year renewal options as long as the bidding exemption exists.

This request is exempt from the competitive bidding requirements pursuant to NRS 332.115 1(a): Items which may only be contracted from a sole source.

POC: Brent Erwin - (847) 235-1045

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for an ARC for replacement of OEM parts to Parkson Corp., for the period of date of award through June 30, 2002, with one year renewals as long as the bidding exemption exists in the estimated annual usage of \$250,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 49 – Approval of the issuance of a Purchase Order for an Annual Requirements Contract for Hycor Bar Screen OEM Parts – Recommended to Parkson Corporation

MOTION – Continued:

Item 22: **DENIED under separate action** (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action** (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Contract Modification Number One of Bid Number 01.1730.12-LED, Annual Maintenance of Flood Control Facilities - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: R.K. RICKS (\$200,000 - Capital Projects Fund) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$200,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This modification will provide additional funding to cover the increased work orders for maintaining the regional flood control facilities located within City limits.

POC: Russell Ricks - (702) 270-6938.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve Contract Modification Number One of Bid Number 01.1730.12-LED, Annual Maintenance of Flood Control Facilities to R.K. Ricks in the amount of \$200,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Contract Modification Form

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Joinder Agreement to authorize the use of Clark County Bid Number 4189-98 (KF) for an Annual Requirements Contract for portable wireless digital private & group two-way voice integrated telephones and service for the period from June 1, 2001 through May 31, 2002, with two (2) one-year options to renew - Department of Information Technologies - Award recommended to: RECOMM WIRELESS (Estimated annual amount of \$200,000 - General & Internal Service Funds)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: Estimated \$200,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Information Technologies

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General and Internal Service Funds

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On May 24, 1999, the City Council approved the authorization to use Clark County Bid Number 4189-98 for an Annual Requirements Contract for portable wireless digital private & group two-way voice integrated telephones and service. This request will increase the award amount from \$50,000 to \$200,000 to allow for the increase in requirements.

This purchase is exempt from competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.195, which allows local governments to use the contract of other local governments.

POC: Wendi Rosen - (702) 491-9149

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the use of Clark County Bid #4189-98, portable wireless digital private & group two-way voice integrated telephones & service, from June 1, 2001 through May 31, 2002 with two (2) one-year options to renew with Recomm Wireless in the estimated annual amount of \$200,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Joinder Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 51 – Approval of Joinder Agreement for the use of Clark County Bid No. 4189-98

MOTION - Continued

on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VICENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Agreement and the issuance of a Purchase Order for an annual contract for technical support maintenance of Microsoft software for the city-wide computer network (CW) - Department of Information Technologies - Award Recommended to: MICROSOFT CORPORATION (Estimated annual amount of \$95,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$95,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Information Technologies

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request provides for an annual contract with Microsoft Corporation to provide technical support maintenance of existing and additional Microsoft software used on the city-wide computer network.

This requirement is exempt from the competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(a), items which may only be contracted from a sole source.

POC: Roger Bruist - (425) 704-4864

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the Agreement and the issuance of a purchase order for technical support maintenance of Microsoft software to Microsoft Corporation in the estimated annual amount of \$95,000 for the period from May 25, 2001 through May 24, 2002.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agreement, including exhibit consisting of Disclosure of Principal synopsis (Full Disclosure package may be provided upon request)

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 52 – Approval of Agreement and the issuance of a Purchase Order for an annual contract for technical support maintenance of Microsoft software

MOTION – Continued:

Item 22: **DENIED under separate action** (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action** (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Purchase Order for a single purchase requirement for SmartNet maintenance of Cisco Systems networking equipment (JDF) - Department of Information Technologies - Award recommended to: CISCO SYSTEMS INC. (\$76,686 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$76,686

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Information Technologies

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This requirement is for a one-year SmartNet maintenance contract on the Cisco networking equipment for the next year.

This item is exempt from competitive bidding under NRS 332.115.1(c), Maintenance for computers. However, to ensure fair and reasonable pricing, another quote was solicited, which was in the amount of \$150,824, almost twice the amount of the quote from Cisco Systems Inc.

POC: Pat Murphy - (702) 798-7989

RECOMMENDATION:

That City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for one year of SmartNet maintenance in the amount of \$76,686 to Cisco Systems Inc.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 53 – Approval of a Purchase Order for a single purchase requirement for SmartNet of Cisco Systems networking equipment

MOTION – Continued:

Item 22: **DENIED under separate action** (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action** (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the award of Bid Number 010057-TB (CW), Annual Requirements Contract for Plotter Supplies – Department of Information Technologies – Award recommended to: SOURCE GRAPHICS (Estimated annual amount of \$70,000 – General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$70,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Information Technologies

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This requirement will provide the Information Technologies Department and other City Departments with an annual requirements contract for plotter supplies.

POC: Terry Brown - (800) 791-9042

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 010057-TB(CW), Annual Requirements Contract for Plotter Supplies to Source Graphics for the period from date of award through April 30, 2002, with four (4) one year options to renew, in the estimated annual amount of \$70,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of rejection of all bids for Bid #01.1730.10-RC, Decatur Boulevard Dual Left Turn Lanes at Washington Avenue - Wards 1 & 5 (M. McDonald & Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: 0

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Eng. Design

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: N/A

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This project consisted of installing dual left turn lanes on both the Northbound and Southbound directions of Decatur Boulevard at the intersection of Washington Avenue. The work would have included the removal and replacement of median islands, new pavement section, traffic signal modifications, striping and traffic signal undergrounds. All bids received exceeded the budgeted amount for this project. The scope of work for this project shall be incorporated into a future project to be performed in this area.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the rejection of all bids received for Bid #01.1730.10-RC, Decatur Boulevard Dual Left Turn Lanes.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, was present.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD asked MR. GOECKE when the lanes were approved and in which direction. MR. GOECKE replied that it is a Regional Transportation Commission funded traffic safety capacity project for dual left turn lanes for the northbound and southbound directions. (Approval of this matter would result in the project not moving forward.) The work will have to be incorporated into a future project and the bidding process would have to start over.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 55 – Approval of rejection of Bid No. 01.1730.10-RC

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed MR. GOECKE to brief him and COUNCILMAN WEEKLY so that they can inform their constituents.

There was no further discussion.

(9:33 – 9:35)

1-862

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a professional services agreement with Duncan Associates to rewrite Chapter 19A.14 of the City of Las Vegas Zoning Code (Sign Code) (\$86,100 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$86,100

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Planning & Development

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City of Las Vegas Sign Code (Title 19A.14) was not revised when Title 19A was adopted in November 1997. Although several ordinances have been subsequently passed which have affected the sign code, a complete revision of the Chapter is required to meet the changing needs of our community and neighborhoods. The Planning and Development Department reviewed several proposals before selecting a consultant for this task. Duncan Associates was ultimately selected based upon their extensive experience in drafting development regulations, their excellent reputation in the field, and the quality of their consulting team.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Professional Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Fourth Supplemental Cooperative Agreement between Clark County and the City of Las Vegas for Traffic Capacity and Safety Improvements Second Program Year to increase funding for Right of Way (\$50,000 - Clark County) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$50,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Clark County

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Fourth Supplemental Cooperative Agreement increases funding for Right-of-Way for the Traffic Capacity and Safety Improvements Second Program Year. Total cost of this project shall not exceed \$562,500.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Fourth Supplemental Cooperative Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Right-of-Way Grant to Nevada Division Central Telephone Company for a portion of the Northeast Quarter (NE 1/4) of Section 4, T21S, R60E, M.D.M., for a telephone easement to serve Buffalo Park located on the west side of Buffalo Drive, north of Del Rey Avenue - 163-04-502-005 - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Declaration of Utilization from the Bureau of Land Management for Sewer Purposes, lying within the Southeast Quarter (SE 1/4) of Section 30, T19S, R60E, M.D.M., located south of Tropical Parkway, east of Fort Apache Road - 125-30-704-006 & 125-29-303-001 - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to file a Right-of-Way Grant application with the Bureau of Land Management for Sewer and Multi-Use Trail Easement purposes on portions of land lying within the East Half (E 1/2) of Section 30, T19S, R60E, M.D.M., located along Tropical Parkway, east of Fort Apache Road - 125-30-702-004 & 125-30-601-006 - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment request from Outback Steakhouse, Inc. on behalf of Lena Piccoli-Ostunio, owner (Merialdo Lane south of Charleston Boulevard) - Ward 2 (L. B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment will consist of a 6' tall 30' long block-wall on the south side of the proposed Merialdo Lane cul-de-sac with an approximate 5' to 16' wide strip of rock landscaping and rock mulch between the block-wall and the curb extending approximately 30' along the Merialdo Lane property line to satisfy a condition of Z-71-00 for the proposed Odette Center. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of liability, maintenance, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map Merialdo Lane south of Charleston Boulevard.

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: May 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Agreement No. 107439 with the Las Vegas Valley Water District to modify existing water facilities, install new water facilities and/or remove obsolete water facilities in conjunction with the Ann Road, US-95 to Ferrell Street project (\$20,000 - Las Vegas Valley Water District) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$20,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Las Vegas Valley Water District

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City of Las Vegas is currently designing full roadway reconstruction and flood control improvements on Ann Road from US-95 to Ferrell Street. This agreement will permit the City to modify existing water facilities, install new water facilities, and/or remove obsolete water facilities during the construction of the Ann Road project.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Agreement No.107439

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Cooperative Agreement between the City of Las Vegas, the City of Henderson, Clark County Sanitation District and the Southern Nevada Water Authority, to fund phase III of the Las Vegas Wash alternative discharge study, the City of Las Vegas pays 38% of \$5,300,000 - (\$2,014,000 - Sanitation Fund) - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$2,014,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Environmental

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Sanitation Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The valley dischargers have formed a Clean Water Coalition to develop an Alternate Discharge system to bypass the Las Vegas Wash. This cooperative agreement defines and funds the scope of work for the Alternative Discharge Study phase III.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Cooperative Agreement, including Exhibit A - Scope of Services

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Easement from the City of Las Vegas to the Las Vegas Elks Lodge 1468 for placement of underground utilities and Ingress and Egress purposes at Fire Station #5 subject to the condition that the Elks Lodge pave the dirt parking lot at the Fire Station - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On March 27, 2001, the Real Estate Office received a letter from the Elks Lodge requesting the above referenced Easement. After discussion with the Fire Department, it was agreed that we would consent to the Easement in exchange for the Employee Parking Lot being paved.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval of the Easement subject to the condition being completed.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Legal Description
2. Site map

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting

MINUTES:

CITY MANAGER VALENTINE indicated that she received a call about a concern regarding the requirement for the Elks Lodge to pave the parking lot. Unfortunately, she was not able to return the call. COUNCILMAN McDONALD requested the matter be held in abeyance so that he and CITY MANAGER VALENTINE could meet with the individual that called.

There was no further discussion.

(9:35 – 9:36)

1-930

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-60-2001 - Approval of a resolution directing the City Treasurer to give notice of the sale of properties subject to the lien of a delinquent assessment in Districts 404 and 707 located in Wards 2 & 4 (L. B. McDonald & Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

NRS 271.545 states that when an assessment or installment becomes delinquent, the City shall direct the City Treasurer to give notice of the sale of the property or properties subject to the lien of a delinquent installment.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council approve this resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-60-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, **MCDONALD** abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and **GOODMAN** abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: **DENIED under separate action** (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action** (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-61-2001 - Approval of a resolution directing the City Treasurer to give notice of the sale of properties subject to the lien of a delinquent assessment in District 505 and in certain other districts; and providing other matters properly relating thereto. District 505 is in Ward 6 (Mack) and the other districts are in various wards

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

NRS 271.545 states that when an assessment or installment becomes delinquent, the City shall direct the City Treasurer to give notice of the sale of the property or properties subject to the lien of a delinquent installment or the entire assessment if the governing body has exercised its option to cause the whole amount of the unpaid principal to become due and payable.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-61-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: DENIED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE & BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-62-2001 - Approval of a Resolution to establish the Fire Safety Initiative Special Revenue Fund

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Establishment of a Special Revenue Fund to facilitate the receipt, distribution, and documentation of funding attributable to the Fire Safety Initiative passed by voters in the 2000 election..

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-62-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, **McDONALD** abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and **GOODMAN** abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: **DENIED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED** under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE** to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****RESOLUTIONS:**

R-63-2001 - Approval of a resolution concerning the financing of improvements; authorizing the City Clerk to notify the Clark County Debt Management Commission (DMC) of a proposal to incur general obligation (G.O.) parking bonds (additionally secured by pledged revenues) in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$13,000,000; authorizing and directing the City Finance Director to submit an amended debt management policy and capital improvement plan to the DMC; and authorizing the reimbursement from bond proceeds prior expenditures related to the parking project - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This 2001 Las Vegas Parking Bond DMC Notice Resolution authorizes and directs the City Clerk to notify the Secretary of the Clark County DMC of the City's proposal to issue general obligation parking bonds (additionally secured by pledged revenues). The resolution also authorizes and directs the City Director of Finance and Business Services to file or amend, if necessary, the City's statement of debt, debt management policy and capital improvement plan with the DMC. Additionally, the resolution authorizes the reimbursement of prior expenditures related to the parking project from bond proceeds.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council approve this resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-63-2001 - Las Vegas Parking Bond DMC Notice Resolution

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, **McDONALD** abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and **GOODMAN** abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Finance and Business Services
Item 68 – R-63-2001

MOTION – Continued:

Item 22: **DENIED under separate action** (see individual item)
Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)
Item 64: **ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action** (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)
1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-64-2001 - Approval of a resolution establishing the interest rate on the assessments in the City of Las Vegas, Nevada Special Improvement District No. 808 (Summerlin area) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City will be issuing non-rated bonds on behalf of Howard Hughes Properties on June 1, 2001. The bonds are entitled City of Las Vegas, Nevada Special Improvement District No. 808 (Summerlin Area) \$46,000,000 Local Improvement Refunding Bonds, 2001 Series. Pursuant to NRS 271.415(2)(b), the Council is required, upon the issuance of the Bonds, to establish the rate of interest on the assessment which secures the Bonds, provided that such interest rate may not exceed by more than one percent the highest rate of interest on the bonds. The highest interest rate has been priced at 6.75 percent, therefore, the City plans to establish a rate of 7.75 percent.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Council adopt this resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-64-2001

MOTION:

L. B. McDONALD – APPROVED R-64-2001 with the corrected rate of 7.25 percent – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, explained that he requested the matter be brought forward for discussion to note that the correct interest rate for the unpaid and deferred installment assessments should be 7.25 percent.

There was no further discussion.

(9:36 – 9:38)

1-974

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-65-2001 - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Engineer to prepare preliminary plans regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1479 - Mayfair Area (Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: N/A

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The construction and installation of pavement, curb and gutter, storm drain, and streetlights. The boundaries of the District are located in the Mayfair subdivision bounded by 15th Street on the west, Fremont Street on the north, Bruce Street on the east, and Charleston Boulevard on the south.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-65-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, **McDONALD** abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and **GOODMAN** abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: **DENIED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED** under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE** to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-66-2001 - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Engineer to prepare preliminary plans regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1478 - Vegas Drive (Rancho Drive to I-15) (Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: N/A

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, continuous left turn lane, "L" type curb and gutter, sidewalks, driveway approaches, water laterals and main, sewer laterals and main, and streetlights.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-66-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, **McDONALD** abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and **GOODMAN** abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

Item 22: **DENIED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED** under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE** to 6/20/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of a Land Lease Agreement with the Grace in the Desert Episcopal Diocese Church to replace the existing agreement that has expired on Parcel Number 138-19-613-001, located at 2002 Spring Gate Lane, to provide recreational programs during "Track Breaks" for the Department of Leisure Services - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Staff was previously granted authorization to negotiate for a Land Lease Renewal on April 4, 2001. Staff is bringing forward a new Agreement to continue to allow the Department of Leisure Services recreational programs for students attending year round school (and are on their "Track Breaks"). This program has been in operation for the past four (4) years. This Lease will allow Leisure Services to continue their programs for an additional five (5) years. The modular unit is owned by the City and in exchange for use of the land, the Diocese shares with the City use of the classrooms.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/14/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval of the Land Lease Agreement

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Land Lease Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Public Works

Item 72 – Approval of a Land Lease Agreement with the Grace in the Desert Episcopal Diocese Church to replace the existing agreement that has expired on Parcel No. 138-19-613-001

MOTION – Continued:

Item 22: **DENIED under separate action** (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action** (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of a Bill of Sale from the City of Las Vegas (CLV) to the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD) for the purpose of providing water services located at the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Substation and Academy, located in the vicinity of Cheyenne Avenue and Jensen Street - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

LVVWD inspected the water distribution facilities located in the vicinity of Cheyenne Avenue and Jensen Street, which are to be owned, operated & maintained by LVVWD. The inspection determined that said facilities have been installed in accordance with LVVWD Standards & Specifications. Therefore, the water facilities constructed for the CLV have been accepted by LVVWD as being satisfactorily completed on 3/12/01 and CLV now turns over the property to LVVWD.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/14/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Bill of Sale
2. Letter from LVVWD
3. Site Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-7, 10-21, 23-54, 56-63, 65-68, and 70-73 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 11, 12, and 13 because of his financial relationship with Mr. Marshall and on Item 22 because the concerned establishment is located near the Boys and Girls Club and he serves on that board, McDONALD abstaining on Item 22 for the same reason, and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 24 because it involves an establishment that is located in his neighborhood

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Public Works

Item 73 - Approval of a Bill of Sale from the City of Las Vegas to the Las Vegas Valley Water District for water services at the LVMPD Substation and Academy at Cheyenne Avenue and Jensen Street

MOTION – Continued:

Item 22: **DENIED under separate action** (see individual item)

Items 55 and 69: **APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

Item 64: **ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 under separate action** (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-776

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE

DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Report and possible action concerning the status of 2001 legislative issues and direct staff as deemed necessary

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Staff will report on pending legislation of the 2001 Nevada State Legislature.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that council accept report and direct staff, if necessary.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to ACCEPT the report – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

VIRGINIA VALENTINE, City Manager

NOTE: COUNCILMAN REESE directed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to find out within the next two days the status of the lottery bill that was introduced during this legislative session and what impact, if any, it would have on the State.

(9:38 – 9:43)

1-1016

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: ADMINISTRATIVE**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Discussion and possible action regarding the Second Amendment to the Operational Agreement of Las Vegas Village and to amend the Grant, Bargain and Sale Deed - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On December 6, 1995, the City of Las Vegas City Council approved the Operational Agreement of Las Vegas Village (Agreement) between the City of Las Vegas and S.V.d.P. Management, Inc. On June 19, 1996, the City Council approved an amendment to the aforementioned agreement to reflect language which allowed S.V.d.P. to apply for a Supportive Housing Program (SHP) grant. The second amendment is to revise language in the agreement under Article 6.1 to allow S.V.d.P. to use both permanent improvement funding and program funding to meet the \$5,000,000 match the City required to be able to sell them the property and to amend the Grant, Bargain and Sale Deed to include the following additional restrictions. This is intended to waive the requirements of presentation to the Real Estate Committee.

RECOMMENDATION:

No recommendation from staff at this time.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Second Amendment to Operational Agreement of Las Vegas Village

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 8 and 9 to 6/20/2001 and STRIKE Items 75 and 76 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:28 – 9:30)

1-701

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Discussion and possible action regarding renewal or termination of contract with Clark County Public Defender for representation of indigents

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:****RECOMMENDATION:****BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:****MOTION:**

REESE – APPROVED as recommended directing City Manager staff to work with the City Attorney's and Public Defender's offices and Municipal Court on the new contract and the possibility of privatizing the public defender function, and to look into rearranging the case calendar in order to reduce Public Defender staff, thereby reducing costs in salaries – **UNANIMOUS**

MINUTES:

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC indicated that the matter was placed on the agenda due to some discussion regarding the amount that the City is paying for legal services this year. The Clark County Public Defender submitted a budget that would cause the City to have to reimburse approximately \$956,000 this year for public defender services. Out of concern for that amount, a meeting was held with the Clark County Public Defender and some staff members to discuss the possibility of continuing with that contract or looking at an alternative. Out of that meeting came a recommendation to continue with the contract for the remainder of this year and work for an alternative for next year, because it would require some transition time to move away from the Public Defender contract.

The current contract calls for three regular deputies with the possibility for additional deputies at the request of the court. The court did request one more so there are a total of five. However, at the meeting it was suggested that the Public Defender work toward reducing the number under

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Administrative

Item 75A – Discussion and possible action regarding renewal or termination of contract with Clark County Public Defender for representation of indigents

MINUTES – Continued:

this year's contract, and then work toward a transition away from those services for next year, which the current contract would allow with a request from the court to the Public Defender's office. He pointed out that the contract does not allow for less than four Public Defenders. Therefore, if it were the desire to have less than four Public Defenders, the current contract would have to be terminated and a new contract entered into.

MAYOR GOODMAN questioned the possibility of a salary shift of one of the public defenders that would be to the City's benefit. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC concurred and explained that the amended contract of 1985 provides that any new public defenders would be entry level and promoted consistent with County policy. During the interim there was a chief deputy public defender moved from State Court to Municipal Court and a willingness was indicated to bill the City the entry-level salary for the deputy that the senior person replaced.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD discussed with CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC the caseload for the City Attorney's office and for the Public Defender's office. Of the 52,000 City Attorney cases, the Public Defender's office took 4,800, which is less than ten percent, with a staff of five public defenders and three clerical. Three of the five public defenders are Attorney IV's with a salary of approximately \$120,000 a year, plus benefits. COUNCILMAN McDONALD commented that it does not make sense to be paying such high salaries to defend less than ten percent of the misdemeanor cases.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD also brought up the possibility of running credit checks to verify that the people requesting public defender services are actually indigent, as it is done in many other cities. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC indicated that the Clark County Public Defender requires an indigent certificate.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD questioned the amount of savings that would be achieved with the new contract. MAYOR GOODMAN indicated that State law requires that a minimum of \$75 be paid to an attorney for a case. There are some issues to work out, such as the criteria for a "case," but the City should be able to save hundreds of thousands of dollars. COUNCILMAN McDONALD concurred.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Administrative

Item 75A – Discussion and possible action regarding renewal or termination of contract with Clark County Public Defender for representation of indigents

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that he was fortunate to attend the meeting with the County and there was a lot of good discussion, which resulted in a savings of approximately \$70,000 for this year alone. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC pointed out that it might be more like \$120,000 if the Court requests one less public defender.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD indicated that there are various possibilities that could be pursued for this function, including privatization.

COUNCILMAN BROWN and COUNCILMAN McDONALD noted that the Public Defender's staff has done a wonderful job, but the Council has a duty to look into cost savings to the taxpayers.

After verifying with CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC that court scheduling has a great impact on the number of Public Defenders on staff, COUNCILMAN BROWN requested that the motion include a direction to Municipal Court to look into rearranging the schedules in a way that will save money. Also, that City Manager staff work with the City Attorney's and Public Defender's offices and Municipal Court in evaluating the next contract and the possibility of rearranging the City's court system and privatizing the public defender functions.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC to report back on the possibility of implementing credit checks on people requesting Public Defender services to ensure that they are actually in need of those services.

There was no further discussion.

(9:43 – 9:54)

1-1209

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Yolanda Renee Small, 451 N. Nellis Blvd. #1098, Las Vegas, Nevada 89110

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 8 and 9 to 6/20/2001 and STRIKE Items 75 and 76 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:28 – 9:30)

1-701

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: May 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Keith L. Wines, 1720 Walnut, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

The appellant was not present.

SERGEANT PAUL PAGE, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, was present and stated that contact was made with MR. WINES' employer, who indicated a willingness to continue to employ MR. WINES despite his criminal background.

MAYOR GOODMAN indicated that he would like the matter held in abeyance so that MR. WINES could be present.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN asked SERGEANT PAGE to contact MR. WINES and tell him that he needs to be present.

There was no further discussion.

(9:54 – 9:55)

1-1613

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on proposed negotiated settlement of SUSAN J. TOOHEY v. CITY OF LAS VEGAS, et al.

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$50,000.

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: CAO/Civil

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City Attorney's Office has received an offer from Susan Toohey to settle her litigation for \$50,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC stated that the matter was in order.

There was no further discussion.

(9:55)

1-1662

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: May 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action to retain Harrison, Kemp & Jones to represent the City of Las Vegas in the Northwest Family Leisure Center and Palo Verde Family Leisure Center construction arbitration

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: Indeterminate

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: City Attorney's Office--Civil

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City of Las Vegas and Haydon Construction are arbitrating Haydon's claims for monies after construction of the Northwest Family Leisure Center and Palo Verde Family Leisure Center.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC stated that the matter was in order.

There was no further discussion.

(9:55 – 9:56)

1-1679

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FIELD OPERATIONS

DIRECTOR: LARRY HAUGSNESS

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Report on the functions and projects of the Department of Field Operations

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Larry Haugsness, Field Operations Director, would like to present the Mayor and Council with an overview of the functions and projects managed by the Department of Field Operations.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only, no action required

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of PowerPoint presentation

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Using a PowerPoint presentation, which is made a part of the Final Minutes, LARRY HAUGSNESS, Director, Field Operations Department, reviewed the various functions of the divisions of the Department of Field Operations and their accomplishments thus far. He briefly touched on some of the projects that have been completed with in-house staff, saving the City a total of over \$2.4 million.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked who is responsible for maintaining the school fields where the City does programming, because the field where the Community Baseball League plays is not in very good condition. MR. HAUGSNESS replied that under the open doors/open schools agreement turf maintenance is the responsibility of the School District. The City would be responsible for the lights if the City did the installation.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Field Operations

Item 80 – Report on the functions and projects of the Department of Field Operations

MINUTES – Continued:

DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Director, Leisure Services Department, indicated that under the open doors/open schools agreement the City has a certain degree of responsibility for the maintenance of fields on which it does programming. However, Community Baseball is unique because it is considered a private enterprise. She offered to look further into the matter, because it is her understanding that if Community Baseball is playing at Doolittle, then it would be the City's responsibility. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that some people feel that if Community Baseball pays the City, then the City should be responsible for the maintenance.

MR. HAUGSNESS assured COUNCILMAN WEEKLY that he would address the issue at the meeting scheduled for the following week with Leisure Services and the School District. There may be some areas that the City needs to take over and perhaps other areas that it should not be maintaining.

MAYOR GOODMAN asked if the City receives recompense for the schools it maintains. MR. HAUGSNESS answered that the City is responsible under the open doors/open schools agreement because it does so much programming at the schools.

MAYOR GOODMAN asked how the figures were arrived at for trolley ridership and questioned the trolley routes. MR. HAUGSNESS replied that staff keeps count of the fees. There are eight different routes. The biggest one is the Fremont route, which services the downtown area. There are other routes on separate days of the week that provide service for seniors for shopping purposes. The seniors are charged 25 cents; non-seniors are 50 cents.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD interjected that the Meadows Mall route was eliminated, due to very low ridership, and a new route put in place, which assisted the Regional Transportation Commission and they were able to purchase two more buses.

With respect to the repaving of "H" Street, MAYOR GOODMAN asked if the pavement markings glow at night, because he has received calls from citizens who are concerned about the brightness. MR. HAUGSNESS indicated that the markings that were installed are of the best quality available.

COUNCILMAN MACK thanked MR. HAUGSNESS for exemplifying what can be done to help cut budget costs. And with the high cost of fuel, COUNCILMAN MACK challenged all other municipalities to take a look at what the City is doing toward budget efficiencies.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Field Operations

Item 80 – Report on the functions and projects of the Department of Field Operations

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY also expressed his appreciation to MR. HAUGSNESS, stating that he had done a wonderful job in assisting him with improving areas of Ward 5 that were in desperate need of repair, such as “H” Street.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD commented that MR. HAUGSNESS was a big help to her Ward in working with the Charleston Neighborhood Preservation Association to improve and beautify Rainbow Boulevard and sections of the area that constantly required the attention of a Rapid Response Team to clear up trash and graffiti. With the help of Field Operations, the residents are really taking pride in their neighborhood, which is priceless.

She then asked if the City charges fees for parades. MR. HAUGSNESS replied that there are five parades that Council authorized Field Operations to provide cleanup for and barricading. Some of the more major parades average between 5 to \$7,000 to clean up, which the City budget absorbs.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD questioned the City’s part in the recent remodeling of the State Welfare office. MR. HAUGSNESS explained that the office is located in a City facility. The new lease was negotiated based on improvements made to that facility.

Finally, COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD asked how often City street sweeping services are provided, noting that perhaps this is an area that can be cut back to save money. MR. HAUGSNESS indicated that the goal is to sweep all residential areas once every two weeks and the downtown area daily. Some streets are done more frequently.

COUNCILMAN REESE asked when was the last time that street sweeping signs were put up with schedules to notify people, because he has noticed cars parked on the streets on street sweeping days or if fines could be imposed. He wondered if there is anything that can be done to better inform the residents. MR. HAUGSNESS responded that when somebody shows an interest they are provided with a long-term schedule. The City is divided into five sections for every day of the week and there are areas that are zoned for signs. It costs in excess of \$1 million to put up signs across the City. He indicated that there is no way to impose fines. COUNCILMAN McDONALD noted that there are laws on the books to require people to move their cars and other cities impose fines. MR. HAUGSNESS commented that staff contacted other cities that impose fines and found that they have not been very successful and it does not do any good to have a system that cannot be imposed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Field Operations

Item 80 – Report on the functions and projects of the Department of Field Operations

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD interjected that there are federal guidelines for street sweeping. CITY MANAGER VALENTINE pointed out that the City has some discretion in the downtown area. However, the Environmental Protection Agency implemented regulations in the late 80s that require municipalities to control storm water runoff. So as a condition of the City's permit, sweeping must be done at least every two weeks.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD commended MR. HAUGSNESS for the fine job he has done, especially with less resources. He has set an example for the other Departments.

COUNCILMAN BROWN expressed his gratitude toward MR. HAUGSNESS and his division managers for the fine work that they do. He has received many positive comments from citizens who frequent the various parks in Wards 4 and 6, and they even know many of the maintenance workers on a first-name basis. As far as some of the initiatives the Department of Field Operations has taken on, he has always been impressed with MR. HAUGSNESS' ability to provide real numbers and their justification for projects. The Department has privatized some projects that may cost the City less money and has used in-house staff on projects that can be done better and cheaper than the private sector. This is a practice that should be continued throughout the entire City.

AL GALLEGOS, citizen of Las Vegas, stated that he was very happy when the Department of Field Operations was created, because he knew that MR. HAUGSNESS would do a fine job, and he is doing a fine job. However, last Thursday evening he noticed that all the lights north of Fremont were out and he was unable to find out why. He thanked the City for the major rehabilitation project that is being done in his neighborhood, but indicated that the streets need to be watered more while the project is ongoing to keep the dust down. Since the project was started he has had difficulty breathing.

JUANITA CLARK, Charleston Neighborhood Preservation, asked if the sidewalk was included in the Jones Avenue improvements. MR. HAUGSNESS answered that Jones is a State maintained highway.

Regarding Rainbow Boulevard, MS. CLARK stated that those improvements have changed the attitude of many people and many people are commenting positively about it. She wondered if a study could be conducted on the number of accidents that occurred before and after the project was completed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Field Operations

Item 80 – Report on the functions and projects of the Department of Field Operations

MINUTES – Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, inquired as to who would be responsible for maintaining the trails project.

MR. HAUGSNESS thanked his division managers and JANET D’AMBROSIA for putting together the PowerPoint presentation. He also thanked the Council for giving him the opportunity to serve the City.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY directed MR. HAUGSNESS to provide him with a list of those fields that the City is responsible for maintaining.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN instructed MR. HAUGSNESS to look into receiving recompense for the City for the schools it maintains.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN requested that MR. HAUGSNESS provide him with total School District “Park” acreage.

There was no further discussion.

(9:56 – 10:35)

1-1697

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Package Liquor License, From: Raleys, a California Corporation, dba Food Source Store #133 (non-operational), Michael J. Teel, Dir, Pres, CEO, William W. Anderson, Treas, CFO, Neil J. Doerhoff, Secy, Joyce N. Teel, Dir, Co-Chair, Bd of Dir, James E. Teel, Dir, Co-Chair, Bd of Dir, Charles L. Collings, Dir, Teel Family Trust, 100%, James E. Teel, Trustor, Trustee, Joyce N. Teel, Trustor, Trustee, To: E & T Produce Co., LLC, dba King Ranch Market #9, 1570 North Eastern Ave., Aner J. Iglesias, Mmbr, 50%, William P. Miguel, Mmbr, 25 %, Constantino Miguel, Mmbr, 25% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Package Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be given following discussion on the item at the City Council meeting.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

Letter from William Miguel

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

DAVE ETTER, Nevada Gaming Application Consultants, 777 Quartz Avenue, appeared with and represented the applicant, WILLIAM MIGUEL.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, stated that the applicant met the requirements for a temporary license. He recommended approval.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 81 – Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name to King Ranch Market

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD stated that MR. MIGUEL did a wonderful job of improving one of his shopping centers that is located on the borderline of Wards 1 and 5. However, he expressed concern about the weeds and asked him if they could meet to discuss the cleanup. MR. MIGUEL agreed to meet with COUNCILMAN McDONALD and noted that some landscape improvements had already been made.

There was no further discussion.

(9:56 – 10:35)

1-1697

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Class II Secondhand Dealer License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Gilbert Levy, dba G & G Liquidators, 401 West Bonanza Rd., Gilbert Z. Levy, 100% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Class II Secondhand Dealer License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

Letter from Gilbert Levy

Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

The applicant was present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, stated that the applicant met the requirements for a temporary license. He recommended approval.

There was no further discussion.

(10:37 - 10:39)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Location and Business Name for a Psychic Art and Science License, Shirley Stevens, dba From: Readings by Shirley Stevens, 1924 East Charleston Blvd., To: Psychic Gallery, 1717 South Decatur Blvd., Booth B-6, Shirley Stevens, 100% [NOTE: Item to be heard in the afternoon session in conjunction with Item #127 - Special Use Permit U-0036-01] - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Location and Business Name for a Psychic Art and Science License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to approval of Special Use Permit U-0036-01 and conditions attached

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

SHIRLEY STEVENS, 1717 South Decatur Boulevard, was present.

NOTE: See Item 127 (U-0036-01) for related discussion.

(4:02 - 4:07)

6-510

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License subject to the provisions of the fire codes, Xiao Ping Wang, dba Xiao Ping Wang, 1705 Hills of Red Dr., #104, Xiao P. Wang, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be given following discussion on the item at the City Council meeting

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

Letter from Xiao Wang

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining to avoid any conflict because his friend knows the applicant

MINUTES:

The applicant was present, accompanied by an interpreter.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, stated that the applicant met the requirements for a temporary license. He recommended approval.

There was no further discussion.

(10:39 - 10:40)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding approval of a new Teenage Dance License subject to the provisions of the fire codes, The Black Hole, Inc., dba The Black Hole, Inc., 2828 Highland Dr., Cristo Fernandez, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 95% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding approval of a new Teenage Dance License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be given following discussion on the item at the City Council meeting

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to the following conditions:

- 1) The applicant must maintain compliance with all applicable parking requirements;**
- 2) The applicant must maintain compliance with occupancy load requirements and the posting of such, including having an accurate count of attendance at any time during an inspection by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department or Business Services;**
- 3) One security officer for each 100 attendees must be present in the parking lot following a dance to ensure the safety of the minors and the responsibility of cleanup for the event;**
- 4) No alcoholic beverages will be allowed at The Black Hole, either catered or permitted, to be consumed on the premises;**
- 5) No items will be permitted to be sold in or on the premises of The Black Hole which are commonly found to encourage or enhance the use of illegal drugs; such as: baby pacifiers, nitrous oxide, vapor rub, and surgical masks;**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Finance and Business Services
Item 85 – Teenage Dance License – The Black Hole, Inc.

MOTION – Continued:

6) Any incidents where the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department is called to the property must be reported to Business Services within 48 hours in writing, describing the nature of the call;

7) Compliance with all Business License and police codes; and

8) A 90-day review after the license has been issued.

UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

CRISTO FERNANDEZ, The Black Hole, Inc., 2828 South Highland Drive, was present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, stated that the subject location, which used to house Camilo's Bar and Restaurant, is pending investigation of that tavern license. MR. FERNANDEZ'S lease with the landlord is for a specified period of time, possibly until October 1, 2001. If the tavern license is not approved at that time, then it will be extended to December 1, 2001.

As this is the second teenage dance license before the Council this year, MR. DiFIORE listed the conditions he would like imposed on the licensee. Since one of the eight conditions includes the prohibition of sale of baby pacifiers, COUNCILMAN McDONALD asked MR. DiFIORE to explain why they contribute to drug paraphernalia. MR. DiFIORE explained that the pacifiers are commonly used for the illegal drug known as ecstasy. The pacifiers prevent the teeth from clenching together, which is an outcome of the use of ecstasy.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD asked if there is a distance requirement between adult businesses and teenage dance establishments. MR. DiFIORE answered that there is a 500-foot distance requirement, measured from door to door in a straight-line fashion. The subject establishment meets that requirement.

MAYOR GOODMAN confirmed with MR. FERNANDEZ that he understood the conditions and was in concurrence.

COUNCILMAN REESE thanked MR. DiFIORE for his insight in putting the conditions together.

There was no further discussion.

(10:40 - 10:45)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Location for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, Son & Son, dba Jackson Market, From: 1312 F Street, Suite D, To: 1218 D Street, Ki S. Son, Co-owner, 50%, Suk C. Son, Co-owner, 50% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Location for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be given following discussion on the item at the City Council meeting

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

WEEKLY – DENIED – motion carried with GOODMAN and BROWN voting NO

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

DAVE CROSBY, Attorney, 711. S. 8th Street, representing the Sons

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services

ED NEWBERRY, Southern Wines and Spirits

KEN LICENRING, Nevada Beverage Company

GARY HUNTER, Pastor, 316 Madison, the Greater Saint James Baptist Church

DALE LEWIS, 4830 West Montera Circle,

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

JOHN REDLEIN, Assistant City Attorney

PAUL PAGE, SERGEANT, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department

MR. AND MRS. SON

SUNNY HONG, Certified Court Interpreter

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 86 – Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Location for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, Son & Son, dba Jackson Market

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN requested that he and COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and REESE have a meeting with representatives from the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department to address some of the issues that have stemmed from this matter.

(10:45 – 12:16)

2-253

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding an Agreement to Loan Redevelopment Set Aside Housing Funds and HOME Investment Partnership ("HOME") Program funds to L'Octaine Limited Partnership to construct a 51-unit rental project at Las Vegas Blvd and Gass (\$850,000 Redevelopment Set Aside and \$1,650,000 HOME Grant Funds) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$2,500,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Redevelopment Set Aside and HOME Grant funds**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion regarding an Agreement to Loan Redevelopment Set Aside Housing Funds and HOME Investment Partnership ("HOME") Program funds to L'Octaine Limited Partnership to construct 51 rental units, 22 of the units will be dedicated HOME-eligible units. The project will include 4100 square feet of retail space located at street level. This project is located in a vital area of redevelopment for the city of Las Vegas.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is the recommendation of the City Manager to approve the Agreement to Loan Redevelopment Set Aside Housing Funds and HOME Investment Partnership ("HOME") Program funds to L'Octaine Limited Partnership, and to authorize the Mayor to sign any and all necessary agreements.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agreement to Loan Redevelopment Set Aside Housing Funds and HOME Investment Partnership ("HOME") Program funds to L'Octaine Limited Partnership

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED – as recommended - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

WILL NEWBERN, Tom Hom Group, 211 North 8th Street, and SCOTT BROWN were present.

SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, Neighborhood Services Department, explained that the L'Octaine project is located at the corner of Las Vegas Boulevard and Gass and was allocated

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 87 – Discussion and possible action regarding an Agreement to Loan Redevelopment Set Aside Housing funds and HOME Investments Partnership Program funds to L'Octaine Limited Partnership

MINUTES – Continued:

private activity bonds in 2000. In October of that year, the Tom Hom Group identified a funding gap for this project and approached the City of Las Vegas concerning possible ways to resolve that gap. Following meetings with the City and the Tom Hom Group, it was decided that the best way to address it would be through a loan. Staff is recommending a loan from HOME funds as well as Redevelopment Agency Set Aside Affordable Housing funds. The project would have a 20-year affordability period and the City will maintain a lien on the property until the HOME and Set Aside funds have been paid in full.

She mentioned some of the other current development projects in the immediate area and stated that by approving the subject development the City Council will assure that a key corner of Las Vegas Boulevard will become a vital part of the downtown revitalization. The mixed-used, mixed-income affordable housing project will provide 51 rental units, with 22 units dedicated to affordable rents, and 4,100 square feet of commercial retail space. She noted that staff has worked with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department on the safety aspects.

MR. NEWBERN stated that the Tom Hom Group is happy to be doing this project. He thanked the City and the City departments that have been involved in this project, with special thanks to MS. SEGERBLOM for leading the way. He apologized for being about a month behind schedule. The total construction schedule is about 12 months, and they should be breaking ground within approximately 90 days.

MAYOR GOODMAN requested that the Tom Hom Group consider changing the name of this project, because he received a call from one of the local neighborhood preservation groups about a concern that the name of the project might reflect on the reputation of local hero Octavious Decatur Gass. MR. NEWBERN requested that a representative of the neighborhood preservation group contact him to discuss the concern. He assured MAYOR GOODMAN that there was no intention of offending anyone.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD asked MR. NEWBERN how much money the Tom Hom Group would be investing in this project. MR. NEWBERN indicated that as of May 15, 2001, they had invested \$514,000 in the project. They will be reimbursed for a portion of that amount, but over the long-term the Group will have put about \$400,000 into the project. COUNCILMAN McDONALD asked if the Tom Hom Group was being paid a developer's fee. MR. NEWBERN responded that they would be receiving about \$700,000. MR. SEGERBLOM indicated she would provide the Council with the exact amount, but she thought it was more like \$649,000. She noted that the money for this loan will be repaid, and a lien will carry with the property until it is paid in full.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 87 – Discussion and possible action regarding an Agreement to Loan Redevelopment Set Aside Housing funds and HOME Investments Partnership Program funds to L'Octaine Limited Partnership

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY commented that this is another great step toward progress, and the constituents are going to be very happy about the project.

There was no further discussion.

(12:42 – 12:49)

3-1194

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Report on the status and impact of Parking Variances and Waivers within the area bounded by Fourth Street, Maryland Parkway, Charleston Boulevard and Fremont Street - Wards 3 & 5 (Reese & Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

To report the findings of a study conducted to determine the aggregate impacts of parking variances and waivers on the area bounded by Fourth Street, Maryland Parkway, Charleston Boulevard, and Fremont Street.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only, no action required

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Report
2. Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, reported that at the May 2, 2001, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY requested that staff review parking variance applications in the downtown area. The area that was chosen for that review is bounded by Charleston Boulevard, Fourth Street, Fremont Street, and Maryland Parkway. He noted that it was found that the number of requests in this area for a reduction in the required number of parking spaces has increased proportionately to the number of projects underway. Secondly, while the requests may be appropriate for individual projects, it is apparent that the overall impact on this section of downtown is an area for concern. Thirdly, within the identified area, there was a mixture of residential, commercial, and professional zoning. The lots within the area tend to be small, most of which are 50 feet wide by approximately 125 feet deep. So when they are converted from a residential to an office use, it is not surprising to submit a request for a parking variance. When new buildings are proposed on these size lots, even when they are combined in groups, the footprint often exceeds 50% of the lot area, which leaves little room for surface parking.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Planning and Development

Item 88 – Report on the status and impact of Parking Variances and Waivers within the area bounded by Fourth Street, Maryland Parkway, Charleston Boulevard, and Fremont Street

MINUTES – Continued:

He introduced JOHN KOSWAN of Planning and Development who would give a more detailed report and identify all the variances that have been approved over the last 30 years. It is staff's intent to update the report as each variance application is received so that the Council can see as they are considering a particular variance the impact it would have on the entire area before taking action.

MR. KOSWAN referred to a map and stated that parking variances have been granted since 1971 and every decade the number of variances are doubled. The effect of granting those variances is that in the study area there has been a reduction of parking to the tune of 40%, with some of the larger variances being located in the area of Las Vegas Boulevard and Gass Street. The net result is a deficiency of 387 parking spaces in an area that requires 978 spaces. Staff intends to continue to monitor the progress of the variances and keep track of the numbers so that they can be included with the staff presentations for additional requests in the study area.

COUNCILMAN REESE asked MR. KOSWAN to explain the reasons for some of the variances that have been granted. MR. KOSWAN cited the L'Octaine project as an example, which is one of the two new developments in the area, and contains 51 units. The mix of the units is 24 studio and one-bedroom units, with 27 being two-bedroom units. The Council decided that the variance was warranted based on the occupancy of those individual units. There were 103 spaces required, and a variance was approved for 52 spaces. The project on Hoover Street is a 271-unit, single-room occupancy project with 7,000 square feet of retail space. The parking spaces that would have been required for the SRO would have fit under the residential/hotel category for parking, which would roughly require one parking space per unit, or a parking requirement of 294 parking spaces total. The parking that is going to be provided is 77 spaces, which leaves a 217-space deficiency.

COUNCILMAN REESE noted that the developer has indicated that if parking problems arise, they will provide other parking. MR. KOSWAN explained that the renters for these projects will not have the same requirements for parking spaces because many of them do not own vehicles. The only concern might be a lack of parking for the retail component. COUNCILMAN REESE pointed out that on the first SRO project on Ogden Avenue and 8th Street the Council required the developer to provide off-property parking and it is never occupied. But he is certain that if there is a parking problem for L'Octaine or the Hoover project, the developer will work with the City in providing adequate parking. MR. GENZER interjected that staff is not suggesting that those two developments are going to affect parking problems in the area. The real issue is all of the

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Planning and Development

Item 88 – Report on the status and impact of Parking Variances and Waivers within the area bounded by Fourth Street, Maryland Parkway, Charleston Boulevard, and Fremont Street

MINUTES – Continued:

other smaller variances that have occurred in combination and within proximity to each other. If this continues, there are going to be significant problems.

There was no further discussion.

(12:49 – 12:57)

3-1474

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****RESOLUTIONS:**

R-67-2001 - Discussion and possible action regarding a resolution consenting to certain undertakings of the City of Las Vegas Redevelopment Agency in connection with the Disposition and Development Agreement with L'Octaine Limited Partnership, a Nevada Limited Partnership (\$850,000 - Redevelopment Housing) (APN 139-34-401-002) - Ward 5 (Weekly)
[NOTE: This item is related to Redevelopment Agenda Item IV-A]

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount: \$850,000**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: OBD / RDA**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: Redevelopment Housing****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Tom Hom Group has proposed the development of a 56-unit apartment building located at the corner of Las Vegas Blvd. and Gass Ave. The developer has requested assistance from the Redevelopment Agency in the form of land and funding in the amount of \$850,000. Upon completion, the apartment complex will be available to both affordable, as well as market rate tenants. This project is one of several that the developer has committed to in the downtown.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Resolution No. R-67-2001
3. DDA, including exhibits consisting of Locator Map and Disclosure of Principals (Note: originals filed in the 5-16-2001 Redevelopment Agency minutes)
4. Site Map

MOTION:**WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK not voting****MINUTES:**

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development, recommended approval.

There was no further discussion.

(12:57 – 12:58)

3-1790

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR:** BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

ABEYANCE ITEM - ETHICS REVIEW BOARD – Earle W. White, Jr., Term Expiration 4-14-2001; Robert J. Fleming, Term Expiration 4-14-2001; Linda Young, Term Expiration 5-12-2001

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In 1993, the previous Ethics Committee was abolished and a new Ethics Review Board was established consisting of five members, terms of four years and no limit to the number of terms which may be served. Council members from Wards 1 through 4 shall appoint one person to the Board with the Mayor designating the Chair subject to confirmation by a majority of the City Council. Earle White, appointed in 1997 by Mayor Jones, has served as Chair and Robert Fleming, appointed in 1997 by Councilman Reese, has served as Vice Chair of this Board. Ward 4 Councilman Callister appointed Linda Young in 1997. Mr. White, Mr. Fleming and Ms. Young are eligible for reappointment. At the May 2, 2001 Council Meeting, Mayor Goodman requested abeyance of this matter until the meeting of May 16, 2001

RECOMMENDATION:

Options are:

Mayor Goodman to reappoint Mr. White OR appoint another citizen as Chairman;
Councilman Reese to reappoint Mr. Fleming OR appoint another citizen as member;
Councilman Brown to reappoint Ms. Young OR appoint another citizen as member.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Current Listing and Authority – Ethics Review Board
Board Interest Form – James Akers
Submitted after final agenda: Committee Interest Form from Renn Reed

MOTION:**REESE – ABEYANCE to 7/5/2001 – UNANIMOUS**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Boards and Commissions

Item 90 – Ethics Review Board

MINUTES:

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC requested the matter be held in abeyance to 7/5/2001 to allow his staff time to consider any amendments to the rules regarding the Ethics Review Board or any other changes the Council might have in mind.

There was no further discussion.

(12:58 – 12:59)

3-1822

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

CHILD CARE LICENSING BOARD – Diane Simon-Jessen – Term Expiration 6-18-2001;
Nona Carroll – Term Expiration 6-18-2001

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Child Care Licensing Board consists of 5 members appointed by the City Council with each member of the Council making one appointment. Currently, Las Vegas Municipal Code (LVMC) 6.24 governing this Board is being reviewed for expansion to 7 members. However, at the present time, membership remains at 5. Ms. Diane Simmon-Jessen is the coterminous appointment of Councilman Brown and Ms. Nona Carroll is the coterminous appointment of Councilwoman Boggs McDonald. Members must be city residents and there is no limit to the number of terms which may be served.

RECOMMENDATION:

Reappoint Ms. Diane Simmon-Jessen OR appoint a new representative by Councilman Brown with term to expire June 2005.

Reappoint Ms. Nona Carroll OR appoint a new representative by Councilwoman Boggs McDonald with term to expire June 2005.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Current Listing and Authority – Child Care Licensing Board

MOTION:

BROWN – Motion to REAPPOINT Diane Simmon-Jessen

and

L.B. McDONALD – Motion to REAPPOINT Nona Carroll

UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Boards and Commissions
Item 91 – Childcare Licensing Board

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(12:59 – 1:00)

3-1866

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

CITIZENS PRIORITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE (CPAC) – Tom E. Paulus - Term Expiration 6-18-2001; Brian Craig Pearson – Term expiration 6-18-2001; Joseph Sayles – Term Expiration 6-2001; Lori Harrison – Term Expiration 6-2001

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Terms of appointment on the Citizens Priority Advisory Committee (CPAC) are coterminous with the Councilmembers making the appointment. With the recent election, the coterminous appointments for Wards 2, 4, 5 and 6 expire in June 2001. Members must be City residents and there is no limit to the number of terms which may be served.

RECOMMENDATION:

Reappoint Mr. Tom E. Paulus OR appoint a new representative by Councilwoman Boggs McDonald with term to expire June 2005

Reappoint Mr. Brian Craig Pearson OR appoint a new representative by Councilman Brown with term to expire June 2005

Reappoint Mr. Joseph Sayles OR appoint a new representative by Councilman Weekly with term to expire June 2003

Reappoint Ms. Lori Harrison OR appoint a new representative by Councilman Mack with term to expire June 2005

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Current Listing & Authority-CPAC
Board Interest Form – James Akers

MOTION:

L.B. McDONALD and BROWN – Motion to hold in ABEYANCE the appointment of Tom E. Paulus and Brian Craig, respectively, to 6/20/2001

and

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Boards and Commissions
Item 92 – Citizens Priority Advisory Committee

MOTION – Continued:

WEEKLY and MACK – Motion to REAPPOINT Joseph Sayles and Lori Harrison, respectively

UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:00 – 1:01)

3-1904

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR:** BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEBUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

NEIGHBORHOOD PARTNERS FUND BOARD, FISCAL YEAR 2002 – Anthony Longo –
Term Expiration 6-2002

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Neighborhood Partners Fund Board consists of 13 members and was created to review Neighborhood Partners Fund applications and recommend awards to the City Council. Appointments and reappointments to this Board were made at the City Council Meeting of April 18, 2001. Since that time, there has been a request to replace Ward 6 Staff Designee Anthony Longo with Ward 6 Staff Designee Leni Skaar. Ms. Skaar would fill this term, which expires June 2002.

RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure for this Board will require the replacement of the Ward 6 Staff Designee Anthony Longo with Ward 6 Staff Designee Leni Skaar.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Current Listing and Authority-Neighborhood Partners Fund Board

MOTION:

MACK – Motion to APPOINT Leni Skaar to replace Anthony Longo – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:01 – 1:02)

3-1960

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

PLANNING COMMISSION – Byron A. Goynes – Term Expiration 6-2001; Hank Gordon – Term Expiration 6-2001

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$50 per meeting attended☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Planning & Development☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The term of office for Mr. Byron A. Goynes and Mr. Hank Gordon on the Planning Commission are coterminous appointments that expire June 2001. When Ordinance 5193 was enacted in January, 2000, Mr. Goynes was the coterminous appointment of Councilman Lawrence Weekly and Mr. Gordon was the coterminous appointment of Councilwoman Boggs McDonald. Both Mr. Goynes and Mr. Gordon are eligible for reappointment.

RECOMMENDATION:

Reappointment of Mr. Goynes OR appointment of a new representative by Councilman Weekly with term to expire June 2003

Reappointment of Mr. Gordon OR appointment of a new representative by Councilwoman Boggs McDonald with term to expire June 2005.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Current Listing & Authority - Planning Commission

Board Interest Forms – Dan W. Carter, Sr.; James Akers; Elaine C. McNamara; Keith A.

Howard and Margrette Makram

Submitted after final agenda: Committee Interest Form from Don Zambotti

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Motion to REAPPOINT Byron Goynes

and

L.B. McDONALD – Motion to hold in ABEYANCE her appointment to 6/20/2001

UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Boards and Commissions
Item 94 – Planning Commission

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:02 – 1:03)
3-1980

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

Discussion and possible action on appointments of Council members to various City of Las Vegas and other jurisdictional Boards, Commissions and Authorities

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

City Council Appointment Listing

MOTION:

GOODMAN – ABEYANCE to 6/20/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:03)

3-2007

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack & Weekly

Discussion and possible action regarding the Reinstatement and First Amendment to Donation, Purchase and Sale Agreement and Escrow Instructions (Agreement) that was approved by the City Council on December 6, 2000, between Union Pacific Railroad and the City of Las Vegas for the purchase of land known as Parkway Center Lot 4 and Bonanza Street Property (\$4,182,415.25 - Industrial Revenue Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$4,182,415.25☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Business Development☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Industrial Revenue Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Purchase of land from Union Pacific Railroad (UPR) and the sale of this same piece of land to 21st Century Financial Ventures, LLC. The land is known as Parkway Center Lot #4 and the Bonanza Street Property. The subject property consists of 10.38 acres, as depicted on the attached map (Exhibit "A").

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/14/2001 Real Estate Committee recommends approval, subject to the amendment to the amount to reflect \$4,184,262 as read into the record by staff. It is recommended by the City Manager that the City Council approve the execution of the Agreement with UPR and that the Mayor be authorized to execute the same, and any and all documents including the Exhibits attached to the agreement.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Real Property Reinstatement and First Amendment to Donation, Purchase and Sale Agreement and Escrow Instructions
3. Site Map

MOTION:**WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Real Estate

Item 96 – Discussion and possible action regarding the Reinstatement and First Amendment to Donation, Purchase and Sale Agreement and Escrow Instructions between Union Pacific Railroad and the City of Las Vegas

MINUTES:

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development, noted that the correct purchase amount should be \$4,184,262, as corrected at the Real Estate Committee meeting, and recommended approval.

MAYOR GOODMAN verified with MS. CODER that the City would have the discretion to set the future sale price of the property. MS. CODER assured MAYOR GOODMAN that it is not the intent to have mandatory concurrent closings.

There was no further discussion.

(1:03 – 1:05)

3-2040

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack & Weekly

Discussion and possible action regarding a Real Property Purchase and Sale Agreement and Escrow (Agreement) between the City of Las Vegas and 21st Century Financial Ventures, LLC. for the sale of land known as Parkway Center Lot 4 and Bonanza Street Property (Gain \$4,973,683 - Industrial Revenue Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$4,973,683 Revenue☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Business Development☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Industrial Revenue Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Sale of land from City of Las Vegas to 21st Century Financial Ventures, LLC, commonly known as Parkway Center Lot #4 and the Bonanza Street Property. The subject property consists of 10.38 acres, as depicted on the attached map (Exhibit "A").

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/14/2001 Real Estate Committee recommends approval, subject to the amendment to the Disclosure of Principals and revised Exhibit K, Promissory Note, read into the record by staff. It is recommended by the City Manager that the City Council finds the sale will have a favorable effect on economic development & approve the execution of the Agreement with 21st Century Financial Ventures, LLC. & that the Mayor be authorized to execute the same, & any & all documents, including the Exhibits attached thereto.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Real Property Purchase and Sale Agreement and Escrow Instructions
3. Site Map

MOTION:**WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Real Estate

Item 97 – Discussion and possible action regarding a Real Property Purchase and Sale Agreement and Escrow Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and 21st Century Financial Ventures, LLC for the sale of land known as Parkway Center Lot 4 and Bonanza Street Property

MINUTES:

BRUCE MADRID, Managing Member, 21st Century Financial Ventures, LLC, 3901 Meadows Lane and DAVID JONES, Founder and CEO of 21st Century Financial Systems, Inc., were present.

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development, referred to Exhibit K and stated that it allows for the agreement to conform to the repayment provisions in the loan agreement so that, in the event that any portion of the property would be sold by 21st Century Financial, the City would be reimbursed for the acreage sold.

As far as the posture the City would take in terms of the lienholder, MS. CODER indicated that the City holds the first lien for the amount of its participation. However, at the City Manager's discretion, the City could take a second lien option. In which case the applicant would be required to submit tax returns, and financial statements, and personal guarantees to cover the loan amount. The City would also have the option to have the applicant commit to both the construction loan as well as the takeout loans. She recommended approval.

MR. MADRID thanked the Council members for creating the climate that fosters entrepreneurial growth and opportunities such as this one. He also expressed his appreciation to the following people for their guidance, assistance, and support: MS. CODER, DOUG LIEN, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TERRI PONTICELLO, STEPHANIE BOIXO, and ERIK PAPPA, SUMMER HOLMSWORTH, and JODI MACK.

He displayed renderings of the project and explained that the plan is to develop a 120,000 square foot cyber center on the northern part of the property with an adjacent approximate 285,000 square foot, Class A office building on the southern side of the property. The office building will be the corporate office for 21st Century Financial Systems, Inc., and other major tenants.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD stated that the project would benefit the City and the entire community.

MAYOR GOODMAN said that this project is the start of something really big that is going to allow the City to be on the cutting edge. He hopes the project will be completed in a timely manner.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Real Estate

Item 97 - Discussion and possible action regarding a Real Property Purchase and Sale Agreement and Escrow Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and 21st Century Financial Ventures, LLC for the sale of land known as Parkway Center Lot 4 and Bonanza Street Property

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCILMAN WEEKLY thanked MR. MADRID for the briefings, as well as MS. CODER, MR. LIEN, MS. MACK, and MS. BIOXO for their involvement.

There was no further discussion.

(1:05 – 1:13)

3-2124

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Discussion and possible action regarding the purchase and sale agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Bank of America for property which is approximately two thirds of a city block within the Central Business District bounded by 3rd and 4th Streets, Bonneville Avenue and Garces Avenue (\$2,738,000 - Parking Enterprise Fund) - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$2,738,000☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Finance☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Parking Enterprise Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

With the construction of the Regional Justice Center, the need for parking is clearly evident, and the ability to assemble a large parcel of land in downtown is rare. The City now has the opportunity to acquire approximately two thirds of a block (2 acres) in the business district to meet parking needs. The parking facility could also be designed with a retail component in mind.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/14/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Purchase and Sale Agreement, including exhibits consisting of the Site Map and Disclosure of Principals

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting and GOODMAN abstaining to avoid any conflict because he lives in the vicinity

MINUTES:

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development, indicated that approval of this matter would allow the City to acquire property for a possible parking facility, which would help relieve some of the current stress on parking in the downtown area. The purchase price of approximately \$30 a square foot is a fair price. Approval of Item 99 would allow staff to proceed with a feasibility study in order to better understand the particulars of moving forward with the parking structure and to come up with a definitive plan for securing the funding for the construction of a parking facility with possible retail uses and even perhaps an attached residential component.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Real Estate

Item 98 - Discussion and possible action regarding the purchase and sale agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Bank of America for property which is approximately two thirds of a City block within the Central Business District bounded by 3rd and 4th Streets, Bonneville Avenue, and Garces Avenue

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK noted that an attached retail component is important to the downtown community.

There was no further discussion.

(1:13 – 1:17)

3-2492

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: LESA CODER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Discussion and possible action to direct staff to initiate the process of providing parking to accommodate future development downtown - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

As you are aware, parking in downtown has become a critical issue that will impact the future development. As the Regional Justice Center progresses, staff has become increasingly aware that there will be a shortage of parking. Additionally, existing parking facilities that were once adequate are now unable to service the demand (see Preliminary Analysis). Staff has been in negotiation with the Bank of America for the acquisition of approximately 2.09 acres located near the Regional Justice Center. It is recommended that the Council direct staff to begin the process of securing a feasibility study, funding, design, and eventually construction of a parking facility to help accommodate the need for parking subject to final negotiations with the Bank of America. The estimated time frame for completion is 18 to 24 months.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/14/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Parking needs analysis
2. Maps

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting and GOODMAN abstaining to avoid any conflict because he lives in the vicinity

MINUTES:

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development, was present.

NOTE: All discussion took place under Item 99.

(1:13 – 1:17)

3-2492

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: LESA CODER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Discussion and possible action regarding a Real Property Purchase and Sale Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Box Canyon Professional Park, LLC, for the sale of land in the Las Vegas Technology Center (Gain of \$2,955,766 - Industrial Park Fund) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: Gain of ~\$2,955,766

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: OBD/Economic Development Div.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Industrial Park Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Sale of 6.16 acres of land in the Las Vegas Technology Center to Box Canyon Professional Park, LLC (BCP) for the construction of professional medical and other office facilities.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/14/2001 Real Estate Committee recommends approval. It is recommended by the City Manager that the City Council approve the execution of the Purchase and Sale Agreement with BCP and that the Mayor be authorized to execute the same, and any and all documents, including the Exhibits attached to the Agreement.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Real Property Purchase and Sale Agreement
3. Disclosure of Principals
4. Site Maps

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY and M. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development, was present.

RICK MURRAY, 4250 Arville, #76, demonstrated a site plan and stated that the commitment of Box Canyon Professional Park, LLC, will add to and build on the efforts that the City of Las Vegas has already made in the Technology Center of bringing the more tech-advanced businesses to the area.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001

Real Estate

Item 100 – Discussion and possible action regarding a Real Property Purchase and Sale Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Box Canyon Professional Park, LLC, for the sale of land in the Las Vegas Technology Center

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN BROWN verified that this is only the first step of the process and that the applicant would still have to go through the design review.

(1:17 – 1:19)

3-2661

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-43 – Annexation No. A-0030-98(A) – Property Location: Between Grand Teton Drive and Gilcrease Avenue, approximately 660 feet east of Hualapai Way; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: Approximately 20.54 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property located between Grand Teton Drive and Gilcrease Avenue, approximately 660 feet east of Hualapai Way. The annexation is at the request of the City, with the concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (June 15, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 6/6/2001 City Council meeting as pursuant to the 5/14/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 5/2/2001; First Publication – 5/25/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

6/6/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-44 – Annexation No. A-0013-99(A) – Property Location: On the northwest corner of Gowan Road and the Western Beltway; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: Approximately 10.34 acres; Zoned: R-U (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property located on the northwest corner of Gowan Road and the Western Beltway. The annexation is at the request of the City, with the concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (June 15, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 6/6/2001 City Council meeting as pursuant to the 5/14/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 5/2/2001; First Publication – 5/25/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

6/6/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-45 – Annexation No. A-0005-00(A) – Property Location: On the northeast corner of Cheyenne Avenue and Fort Apache Road; Petitioned By: Fort Apache Convenience Limited Liability Company; Acreage: 2.67 acres; Zoned: C-2 (County Zoning), C-1 (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property located on the northeast corner of Cheyenne Avenue and Fort Apache Road. The annexation is at the request of the owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (June 15, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 6/6/2001 City Council meeting as pursuant to the 5/14/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 5/2/2001; First Publication – 5/25/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

6/6/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-46 – Expands the boundaries of the Downtown Casino Overlay District and allows the waiver of certain distance requirements within that District. Sponsored by: Mayor Oscar B. Goodman and Councilman Lawrence Weekly

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Downtown Casino Overlay District currently serves to mark the boundaries within which certain casino-related uses may operate outside the usual zoning requirements. This bill would expand the District to include an additional block to the east, as well as the UP Site to the west and southwest. Additionally, the bill would relax within the expanded District the normal distance requirements applicable to taverns. This will allow entertainment locations to be grouped together where appropriate, thereby encouraging redevelopment and revitalization of the area. The City Council will continue to have the discretion to approve or deny an application at any particular location.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 6/6/2001 City Council meeting as pursuant to the 5/14/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 5/2/2001; First Publication – 5/25/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

6/6/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-47 – Annexation No. A-0003-01(A) – Property Location: On the east side of Juliano Road approximately 330 feet south of Hickam Avenue; Petitioned By: Paul and Yvonne Milko Revocable Living Trust; Acreage: 2.59 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning) U (DR) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property located on the east side of Juliano Road approximately 330 feet south of Hickam Avenue. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (June 29, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-47 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

5/29/2001 Recommending Committee

6/6/2001 Council Agenda

(1:19 – 1:21)

3-2780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-48 – Annexation No. A-0007-01(A) – Property Location: On the west side of Mustang Street approximately 300 feet north of Cheyenne Avenue; Petitioned By: Karl and Susan Larsen Family Trust; Acreage: 0.64 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property located on the west side of Mustang Street approximately 300 feet north of Cheyenne Avenue. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (June 29, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-48 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

5/29/2001 Recommending Committee

6/6/2001 Council Agenda

(1:19 – 1:21)

3-2780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-49 – Requires persons who work as escorts to obtain a health card and to undergo initial and periodic medical examinations. Sponsored by: Mayor Oscar B. Goodman

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will require persons who work as escorts to obtain a health card and to undergo initial and periodic medical examinations.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-49

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

5/29/2001 Recommending Committee

6/6/2001 Council Agenda

(1:19 – 1:21)

3-2780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-50 – Requires persons who work as outcall entertainers to obtain a health card and to undergo initial and periodic medical examinations. Sponsored by: Mayor Oscar B. Goodman

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will require persons who work as outcall entertainers to obtain a health card and to undergo initial and periodic medical examinations.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-50

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

5/29/2001 Recommending Committee

6/6/2001 Council Agenda

(1:19 – 1:21)

3-2780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-51 – Amends the City's Uniform Administrative Code to increase the construction valuation basis for building permit fees. Proposed by: Paul Wilkins, Director of Building and Safety

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount: ***☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill will amend the City's Uniform Administrative Code to increase the construction valuation basis for building permit fees in order to more accurately reflect the actual costs of construction. The new basis will be more in line with that of other area jurisdictions. Input regarding the increase has been solicited from representatives of homebuilders, contractors and taxpayer groups. Based upon the input received, no formal business impact statement has been deemed necessary. The bill also makes other minor technical changes.

* Approximate 25% increase in permit fee revenue.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-51 and Incorporated Documents

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

5/29/2001 Recommending Committee

6/6/2001 Council Agenda

(1:19 – 1:21)

3-2780

THE MORNING SESSION RECESSED AT 1:21 P.M.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

Any items from the afternoon session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 116 [Z-0016-98(2)], Item 117 [Z-0015-01] to 6/20/2001 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD not voting

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting, a Verbatim Transcript was made a part of the Final Minutes regarding pertinent information for Item 118 [SD-0076-00].

MINUTES:

MIKE BELLON appeared regarding Item 118 [SD-0076-00] representing the applicant, and questioned why this item was again being held in abeyance. MAYOR GOODMAN explained, that a gentleman who claimed that promises were made to him, concerning this Town Center property, had contacted him. Because of this argument, MAYOR GOODMAN is requesting an evaluation and opinion by the City Attorney to prevent any repercussions as far as the City is concerned. MR. BELLON indicated that his client had contacted the gentleman twice but could not reach an amicable agreement. MR. BELLON conveyed that delaying this project results in a substantial payment for extending the escrow each month.

MAYOR GOODMAN stated that he would be willing to bring this item forward for discussion; however, if no resolution was made, it may still be held for future consideration. CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC confirmed that this procedure would be correct.

There was no further discussion.

(2:16 – 2:18)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of dangerous building located at 1208 Purple Sage Avenue. PROPERTY OWNER: MARK AND ERNESTINE MARTINEZ - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,996.40☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The subject property was corrected by securing, boarding, and cleaning the vacant house. Removing all trash, debris, wood, the fallen tree, and limbs from the rear yard. Posting a "No Trespassing" sign on the property. The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K.O. Construction to abate the problem.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,996.40 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 111 – 1208 Purple Sage Avenue

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BOB HYDE, Neighborhood Services, explained that the subject property was secured and boarded up. All trees and debris were removed and the property was declared a public hazard and a source of concern to the police and fire department. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K.O. Construction to abate the problem. He recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,996.40 in order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the Clark County Treasurer.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, asked about the time limit for boarded-up homes. He was informed that the proposed draft is still being reviewed.

CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC explained that the City has been notified by the County Treasurer's Office that they will no longer record enforceable liens, although they have done it for years. His office has drafted a response addressing the situation in order to obtain their reconsideration.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:18 – 2:21)

5-167

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT PM SESSION INDEX:

CONSENT AGENDA

- EXTENSION OF TIME - SPECIAL USE PERMIT
112 U-0109-99(1) - Ranger Building Corporation on behalf of D Westwood, Inc.

DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

- MINOR MODIFICATION - LONE MOUNTAIN WEST DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN
113 Z-0024-99(21) - Stanpark Construction Company, Inc.

- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW
114 Z-0026-91(15) - Christopher Sullivan
115 Z-0105-97(5) - Areejh Investments Corporation on behalf of Equitable Development Corporation of Nevada, Inc.

- AMENDMENT TO THE IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH RESIDENTIAL PLANNED DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING
116 Z-0016-98(2) - Whispering Teton, Limited Liability Company

- REZONING RELATED TO Z-0016-98(2) - PUBLIC HEARING
117 Z-0015-01 - Whispering Teton, Limited Liability Company

- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING
118 ABEYANCE ITEM - SD-0076-00 - Jae Sun Kim on behalf of John Staluppi
119 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0100-97(3) - Union Pacific Railroad Company on behalf of Furniture Mart Enterprise, Limited Liability Company
120 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0073-99(1) - KMW, Limited Liability Company
121 Z-0050-81(1) - Mario and Norma Quinonez

- VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING
122 VAC-0002-01 - KMW, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Windsor Group

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Two INDEX

City Council Meeting of May 16, 2001

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING

123 V-0018-01 - Laule Becker II

124 V-0020-01 - Laule Becker II

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO V-0018-01 AND V-0020-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

125 Z-0080-65(3) - Laule Becker II

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

126 ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0028-01 - Gateway Motel, Inc. on behalf of Reagan National Advertising

127 U-0036-01 - Bolz, Limited Liability Company, Et Al on behalf of Shirley Stevens

128 U-0037-01 - Vegan Development Corporation on behalf of On the Web Marketing Group, Inc.

129 U-0039-01 - Vegan Development Corporation

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING

130 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0002-01 - Albert Eugene, Limited Partnership

VARIANCES RELATED TO Z-0002-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

131 ABEYANCE ITEM - V-0002-01 - Albert Eugene, Limited Partnership

132 ABEYANCE ITEM - V-0006-01 - Albert Eugene, Limited Partnership

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0002-01, V-0002-01 AND V-0006-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

133 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0002-01(1) - Albert Eugene, Limited Partnership

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING

134 Z-0012-01 - City of Las Vegas

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0012-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

135 Z-0012-01(1) - City of Las Vegas

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING

136 GPA-0005-01 - Calvary Four Square Gospel Church on behalf of Sprint PCS

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0005-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

137 Z-0006-01 - Calvary Four Square Gospel Church on behalf of Sprint PCS

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Three

INDEX

City Council Meeting of May 16, 2001

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0005-01 AND Z-0006-01
- PUBLIC HEARING

- 138 Z-0006-01(1)** - Calvary Four Square Gospel Church on behalf of Sprint PCs

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 139 GPA-0006-01** - Charleston Lindell #31 4401C and 4401D on behalf of Millenium Properties

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0006-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 140 Z-0014-01** - Charleston Lindell #31 4401C and 4401D on behalf of Millenium Properties

SPECIAL USE PERMITS RELATED TO GPA-0006-01 AND Z-0014-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 141 U-0040-01** - Charleston Lindell #31 4401C and 4401D on behalf of Millenium Properties
- 142 U-0041-01** - Charleston Lindell #31 4401C and 4401D on behalf of Millenium Properties
- 143 U-0042-01** - Charleston Lindell #31 4401C and 4401D on behalf of Millenium Properties

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0006-01, Z-0014-01,
U-0040-01, U-0041-01 AND U-0042-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 144 Z-0014-01(1)** - Charleston Lindell #31 4401C and 4401D on behalf of Millenium Properties

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

EXTENSION OF TIME - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - U-0109-99(1) - RANGER BUILDING CORPORATION ON BEHALF OF D WESTWOOD, INC. - Request for an Extension of Time on an Approved Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED 20,198 SQUARE FOOT TAVERN at 2805 Westwood Drive (APN: 162-08-604-001), M (Industrial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining. Subsequent to the item, M. McDONALD conveyed to the City Clerk that he would be abstaining because of his relationship with MR. ROGICH

MINUTES:

ATTORNEY BOB GRONAUER, Kummer Kaempfer Bonner and Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, represented the applicant. He affirmed that he had not asked for this item to be pulled for discussion. MAYOR GOODMAN stated that it was his decision to discuss the item because he felt that leaving it on the consent agenda would only promote negative opinions.

ATTORNEY GARRY HAYES, 3777 Pecos-McLeod, appeared on behalf of the neighboring property owner. He thanked MAYOR GOODMAN for the opportunity to speak for his client as he was not allowed to do so at the Planning Commission meeting when this item was brought forward. He explained that his client is very concerned about traffic congestion and inadequate

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 112 – U-0109-99(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

parking. He requested notification of any public hearing whereby the applicant will present proposals as to what is planned to deal with both the parking and traffic situations. ATTORNEY GRONAUER acknowledged that he would contact ATTORNEY HAYES and his client and ensure that they receive adequate notification of future meetings or public hearings.

There was no further discussion.

(2:21 – 2:27)

5-232

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within one year of this approval, the Special Use Permit shall be void unless another Extension of Time is granted.
2. Compliance with all Conditions of Approval of the original Special Use Permit (U-0109-99).

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

MINOR MODIFICATION - LONE MOUNTAIN WEST DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN
- Z-0024-99(21) - STANPARK CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC. - Request for a
Minor Modification to the Lone Mountain West Development Master Plan to amend subsections
3.6.1(i & l), 3.6.2(e), and 3.12(g) regarding retaining wall height and spacing, PD (Planned
Development) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff
recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Conditions For This Application
2. Staff Report

MOTION:

**BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN
abstaining because of his business relationship with one of the partners of Stanpark and
L.B. McDONALD not voting**

MINUTES:

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, disclosed that he has a business
relationship with some of the principals of BPN and has not been involved with the process nor
made recommendations pertaining to this application.

There was no further discussion.

(2:27 – 2:32)

5-322

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 113 – Z-0024-99(21)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Item 3.6.2 (i) and 3.6.2 (e) shall be amended as: No retaining wall over 3'6" shall be visible from any public street with the exception of the I-215 Beltway and the exception that 10'0" may be visible as follows: along Stange Avenue east of Cliff Shadows Parkway and west of Cliff Shadows Parkway a maximum distance of 250'; along Peaceful Dawn Avenue west of Cliff Shadows Parkway a maximum distance of 330'; and adjacent to the lot at the southeast corner of Yellow Harbor Street and Peaceful Dawn Avenue.
2. Item 3.6.1 (l) shall be amended as follows: Overall wall height visible from any public street shall not exceed 9'6" with the exception of 16 feet along Stange Avenue east of Cliff Shadows Parkway and west of Cliff Shadows Parkway a maximum distance of 250'; along Peaceful Dawn Avenue west of Cliff Shadows Parkway a maximum distance of 330'; and adjacent to the lot at the southeast corner of Yellow Harbor Street and Peaceful Dawn Avenue, and the exception of the I-215 Beltway.
3. Item 3.1.2 (g) shall be amended as: 10'0" maximum retaining wall height, 2'0" clear horizontal distance between terraced walls with 2:1 maximum slope on landscaping between walls. No retaining wall over 3'6" shall be visible from any public street with the exception of the I-215 Beltway and the exception that 10'0" may be visible along Stange Avenue east of Cliff Shadows Parkway and west of Cliff Shadows Parkway a maximum distance of 250'; along Peaceful Dawn Avenue west of Cliff Shadows Parkway a maximum distance of 330'; and adjacent to the lot at the southeast corner of Yellow Harbor Street and Peaceful Dawn Avenue.
4. Conformance to all other Development Standards for the Lone Mountain West Master Development Plan.
5. All referenced street names are tentative. All wall height limitations shall apply regardless of any future street name changes.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - **Z-0026-91(15)** - **CHRISTOPHER SULLIVAN**
- Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Waiver of the Landscaping Requirements to allow a reduction in the amount of perimeter landscaping FOR A PROPOSED 1,500 SQUARE FOOT OFFICE BUILDING CONVERSION on 0.17 Acres at 332 South Jones Boulevard (APN: 138-36-210-008), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone under Resolution of Intent to P-R (Professional Office and Parking), Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions amending Condition No. 1 as follows:

- *Landscaping shall be provided on the site as follows: a five-foot wide planter along Jones Boulevard, adjacent to the handicapped parking space in the front portion of the site; a two-foot wide planter along the front (west) side of the building, with a six-foot wide planter along the north property line, adjacent to the structure. The depicted planter island with a palm tree shall be provided.*

– **UNANIMOUS** with L.B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

CHRIS SULLIVAN, 2629 Ohio Court, appeared and explained that he met with staff and a conclusive compromise was reached regarding the landscaping waiver.

There was no further discussion.

(2:32)
5-500

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 114 – Z-0026-91(15)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to the revised site/landscaping plan prepared by staff, to include the provision of a minimum six foot wide planter along the north 56 feet of the east property line; a minimum six foot wide planter along the north property line, and a minimum seven foot wide planter along the west (Jones Boulevard) property line, from the north edge of the shared driveway to the north property line. Staff is authorized to modify the parking, driveway and landscape layout as necessary to comply with City Standards.

AT THE APRIL 12TH PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING, THE COMMISSION DIRECTED STAFF TO WORK WITH THE APPLICANT REGARDING LANDSCAPING ISSUES FOR THIS SITE. BASED ON DISCUSSIONS WITH THE APPLICANT, STAFF IS RECOMMENDING THAT CONDITION NUMBER 1 BE REVISED AS FOLLOWS:

1. Landscaping shall be provided on the site as follows: A five-foot-wide planter along Jones Boulevard, adjacent to the handicap parking space in the front portion of the site; A two-foot wide planter along the front (west) side of the building, with a six-foot wide planter along the north property line, adjacent to the structure. The depicted planter island with a palm tree shall be provided].

Public Works

2. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards prior to occupancy of this site.
3. The proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout shall meet the approval of the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways should be designed, located and constructed to meet the intent of Standard Drawing #222a.
4. Provide a copy of a recorded Joint Access and Parking Agreement between this site and the adjoining parcel to the south prior to the issuance of any permits for this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 114 – Z-0026-91(15)

CONDITIONS - Continued:

5. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

6. If on street parking is not already prohibited adjacent to this site, submit a written request to the Traffic Engineer to eliminate on-street parking on Jones Boulevard adjacent to this site.
7. Obtain an Occupancy Permit for all landscaping and private improvements in the Jones Boulevard public right-of-way adjacent to this site.
8. Hard Surface (if allowed by Planning and Development) and/or landscape all unimproved right-of-way, if any unimproved area exists, on Jones Boulevard adjacent to this site prior to the issuance of a business license. Maintain all such improvements in perpetuity. All landscaping installed with this project shall be situated and maintained so as not to create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 114 – Z-0026-91(15)

CONDITIONS - Continued:

9. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements, if any, located in the Jones Boulevard public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.
10. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-26-91, all other subsequent site-related actions, and the Conditions of Approval of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis for Jones Boulevard, Upland Boulevard to Evergreen Avenue.

Standard Conditions

11. All development shall be in conformance with the building elevations.
12. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be provided as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. For non-residential developments, failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
13. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit or license, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.
14. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be screened from view from the abutting streets (excluding single family development).
15. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
16. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
17. Where new water mains are extended along streets and fire hydrants are not needed for protection of structures, hydrants shall be spaced at a maximum distance of 1,000 feet.
18. Provide plans showing accessible exterior routes from public transportation stops, accessible parking, passenger loading zones and public sidewalks to the accessible building entrance(s) with submittal of plans for building permits as required by the Department of Building and Safety. Accessible routes shall have running slopes and cross slopes in accordance with the applicable code.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - Z-0105-97(5) - AREEJH INVESTMENTS CORPORATION ON BEHALF OF EQUITABLE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION OF NEVADA, INC. - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR MODIFICATIONS TO AN APPROVED 10-THEATER MOVIE COMPLEX, RETAIL SHOPS, PROFESSIONAL OFFICE SPACE, RESTAURANT PAD, AND A 5-LEVEL PARKING GARAGE located north of Washington Avenue, approximately 575 feet east of Lamb Boulevard (APN: 140-29-212-004), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with L. B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

DEWEY JONES, Jones & Greenwald Architecture, 3185 Highland Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

COUNCILMAN REESE stated that he had met with staff and the consensus was that the architectural plans far exceeded what was originally presented.

MAYOR GOODMAN asked when the project would be completed and MR. JONES explained that the Assistance Care Building is being developed and groundbreaking will commence within three weeks.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 115 – Z-0105-97(5)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

(2:32 – 2:33)

5-560

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The north side of the building shall have an exterior treatment that is compatible with the remainder of the structure shall be submitted to the Planning and Development Department.
2. All trees shall be at least 24-inch box in size.
3. A Site Development Review for the restaurant pad must be approved by the Planning Commission prior to any construction on that pad.
4. This approval does not constitute approval of a tavern or pub.
5. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-105-97, the Azra Commercial Subdivision, and all other site-related actions as required by the Planning and Development Department.

Public Works

6. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works regarding the possible need to relocate the existing on-site private sewer line. Site development shall comply with the recommendations of the Collection Systems Planning Section.
7. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-105-97, Z-105-97(4), the Azra Center commercial subdivision, and all other site-related actions.

Standard Conditions

8. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
9. All development shall be in conformance with the Site Development plan and building elevations.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 115 – Z-0105-97(5)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

10. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be provided as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. For non-residential developments, failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
11. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit or license, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.
12. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be screened from view from the abutting streets (excluding single family development).
13. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
14. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
15. Where new water mains are extended along streets and fire hydrants are not needed for protection of structures, hydrants shall be spaced at a maximum distance of 1,000 feet.
16. Provide plans showing accessible exterior routes from public transportation stops, accessible parking, passenger loading zones and public sidewalks to the accessible building entrance(s) with submittal of plans for building permits as required by the Department of Building and Safety. Accessible routes shall have running slopes and cross slopes in accordance with the applicable code.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

AMENDMENT TO THE IRON MOUNTAIN RESIDENTIAL PLANNED DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0016-98(2) - WHISPERING TETON, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request to amend the Iron Mountain Ranch Residential Planned Development Master Plan TO REMOVE 16.71 ACRES FROM THE OVERALL PLAN AREA located on the southwest corner of Bradley Road and Grand Teton Road (APN: 125-13-101-004), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units per Acre), Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda: Taney Engineering Fax

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 116 [Z-0016-98(2)], Item 117 [Z-0015-01] to 6/20/2001 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(2:16 – 2:18)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING RELATED TO Z-0016-98(2) - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0015-01** -
WHISPERING TETON, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Rezoning
 FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units per
 Acre) for 16.71 Acres on the southwest corner of Bradley Road and Grand Teton Road (APN:
 125-13-101-004), PROPOSED USE: 63 LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL
 DEVELOPMENT, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL if Z-0016-98(2) is denied, and
 APPROVAL if Z-0016-98(2) is approved. The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) recommends
 APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL if Z-0016-98(2) is denied, and APPROVAL if Z-0016-98(2) is
 approved. The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to
 conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 116 [Z-0016-98(2)],
 Item 117 [Z-0015-01] to 6/20/2001 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD not voting**

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(2:16 – 2:18)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - SD-0076-00 - JAE SUN KIM ON BEHALF OF JOHN STALUPPI - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 25,932 SQUARE FOOT AUTO DEALERSHIP (RANCHO NISSAN) on the east side of Rancho Drive, approximately 700 feet north of Lone Mountain Road (APN: 125-35-401-003), C-2 (General Commercial), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****4****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda: Taney Engineering Fax

MOTION:

MACK – ABEYANCE to 6/6/2001 - UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD and L.B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MIKE BELLON, representing the applicant, questioned why this item was again being held in abeyance. MAYOR GOODMAN explained that a gentleman who claimed that promises were made to him concerning this Town Center property had contacted him. Because of this argument, MAYOR GOODMAN is requesting an evaluation and opinion by the City Attorney to prevent any repercussions as far as the City is concerned. MR. BELLON indicated that his client had contacted the gentleman twice but could not reach an amicable agreement. His client then

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 118 – Site Development Plan Review – SD-0076-00

MINUTES – Continued:

opted to obtain property outside of the Town Center. MR. BELLON conveyed that delaying this project results in a substantial payment for extending the escrow each month.

MAYOR GOODMAN elected to go forward on this item with the understanding that should there be no resolution or if the City Attorney had any concerns, it could be reconsidered for recall or be denied. CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC confirmed that if any problems arise, it would be put back on the abeyance list.

COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that it was his understanding that this item would be held in abeyance. He was concerned about the location of the dealership and questioned whether any policy changes had taken place that he was unaware of. ANDREW REED, Planning and Development, reaffirmed that this area is zoned C-2 and the dealership is a permitted use. COUNCILMAN BROWN stated for the record that as the proceeding goes forward, he would be opposing the application reiterating the Council's previous decisions to encourage dealerships inside the Town Center but to avoid the sprawl along the Rancho Corridor.

To provide clarification, MAYOR GOODMAN requested this item be held in abeyance to research the prior policy statements. He requested a copy of COUNCILMAN MACK's letter to MR. STALUPPI be provided to his office and upon receipt will forward it to the City Attorney for an opinion.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, expressed his concern that Rancho Drive will begin to look like Boulder Highway. MR. BELLON challenged saying that the public has a misconception of car dealerships. They generate less traffic than fast food establishments and erect beautiful buildings on the dealership lots.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

Subsequent to the meeting a Verbatim Transcript of Item #118 [SD-0076-00] was made a part of the final minutes.

(2:16 – 2:18/2:33 – 2:43)

5-1/5-615

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0100-97(3)** - UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY ON BEHALF OF FURNITURE MART ENTERPRISES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 1,000,000 SQUARE FOOT COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT AND FOR A WAIVER OF THE OFF-STREET PARKING REQUIREMENTS on approximately 36.11 acres at the northwest corner of the intersection of Bonneville Avenue and Grand Central Parkway (APN: 139-33-610-002), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Economic and Fiscal Impact Research for World Market Center

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition No. 7 as follows:

- The applicant shall participate in the development of a major trail/landscaped buffer feature along I-15 and US-95/I-515, and shall develop such trail within the subject property. Such trail shall be 20-feet wide, and include a 10 foot-wide asphalt walking/jogging/biking trail and heavy landscaping. Such trail shall have eventual connectivity into other downtown trails such as a trailhead near City Hall to the Bonanza Trail and the possible Third Street pedestrian corridor, linking to the regional trail plan. A trail plan for this segment shall be approved by the Planning and Development Department staff prior to the issuance of any permits for this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 119 – Site Development Plan Review – Z-0100-97(3)

MOTION – Continued:

Amending Condition No. 13.

- Dedicate appropriate right-of-way for a total radius of 54 feet on the northwest and southwest corners of Discovery Drive and Grand Central Parkway prior to the issuance of building or grading permits for this site. Additional rights-of-way may also be required in accordance with the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. *The applicant shall work with staff regarding the Discovery Drive rights-of-way dedication to coordinate with future development of Phases I, 2, and 3.*

Amending Condition No. 14:

- Construct full-width improvements on Discovery Drive (aka World Drive) through this site concurrent with development of any portion of the project that abuts Discovery Drive. Also, concurrent with development, remove all substandard improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City standards.

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript of Item No. 119 is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

ATTORNEY BOB GRONAUER, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway
GREG BORGEL, 300 South 4th Street
DR. KEITH SCHWER, 4505 Maryland Parkway
TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street
AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas
CHRIS GLORE, Planning & Development Department

(2:43 – 3:04)

5-890

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 119 – Z-0100-97(3)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. The adequacy of parking shall be reviewed by the Planning Commission and City Council within two years of the approval of this Site Development Plan Review, at which time the Planning Commission and City Council may require additional parking be provided for the facility.
3. The rear (west) elevation of the Phase I building shall depict a loading area enclosure, consisting of an effective solid screen at least 10 feet in height, or meeting all landscape screening requirements of the approved Site Development Plan Review and Site Development Standards for Lot 1 for service and dock areas, along the full loading area perimeter.
4. The site plan shall be revised to depict substantial outdoor plazas with seating areas and appropriate landscaping to encourage pedestrian gathering, including at a minimum an area adjacent to the north of the building.
5. The details of all exterior signage shall be submitted with a Master Sign Plan for approval by the Planning Commission, prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.
6. A detailed landscaping plan in compliance with all Landscape Architecture Design Criteria requirements of the approved Site Development Plan Review and Site Development Standards for Lot 1 shall be submitted for approval of the Planning and Development Department, prior to the issuance of any building permits for the site.
7. The applicant shall participate in the development of a major trail/ landscaped buffer feature along I-15 and US-95/I-515, and shall develop such trail along their western and northern property edges. Such trail shall be 75 feet deep, and include a 15 foot-wide asphalt walking/jogging/biking trail, exercise equipment, sitting areas, and heavy landscaping (3 staggered rows of 24-inch box trees, each row 25 feet on-center). Such trail shall have eventual connectivity into other downtown trails such as a trailhead near City Hall to the Bonanza Trail and the possible Third Street pedestrian corridor, linking to the regional trail plan.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 119 – Z-0100-97(3)

CONDITIONS - Continued:

8. All decorative paving, including square and round intersections, pedestrian plazas, and entry plazas, shall consist of stamped colored concrete or handset pavers or some other type of decorative amenities subject to approval by the Planning and Development Department Staff.
9. Any deviations from the approved master plan and master development standards shall require approval of a waiver to be heard at a public hearing before the Planning Commission and City Council.
10. This site development, including land uses, pedestrian, vehicular and transit circulation systems, and general development standards, shall be coordinated with the City-owned 61-acre parcel to the east of this site, and the UP-owned 39-acre parcel to the south of this site.
11. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed buildings. Lighting standards within the parking lots shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights.
12. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.

Public Works

13. Dedicate appropriate right-of-way for a total radius of 54 feet on the northwest and southwest corners of Discovery Drive and Grand Central Parkway prior to the issuance of building or grading permits for this site. Additional rights-of-way may also be required in accordance with the approved Traffic Impact Analysis.
14. Construct full-width improvements on Discovery Drive (aka World Drive) through this site concurrent with development of this site. Also, remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards prior to occupancy of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 119 – Z-0100-97(3)

CONDITIONS - Continued:

15. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in redesigning the proposed driveway layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a. In addition, coordinate with the Traffic Engineer regarding the proposed entry feature at the intersection of Discovery Drive (aka World Drive) and Grand Central Parkway prior to the submittal of any construction drawings; comply with the recommendations of the Traffic Engineer.
16. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 119 – Z-0100-97(3)

CONDITIONS - Continued:

17. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first., if allowed by the City Engineer.
18. Site development to comply with all applicable Conditions of Approval for Z-100-97 and all other site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0073-99(1)** - **KMW, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 7,675 SQUARE FOOT OFFICE BUILDING on 2.18 acres located on the northeast corner of the intersection of Gowan Road and Buffalo Drive (APN: 138-10-201-012), U (Undeveloped) Zone [O (Office) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to O (Office), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (4-1-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-1-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition No. 2 as follows:

- The installation of the required landscaping planters along the north and west property lines shall commence within 18 months of Council approval of Z-0073-99(1).

Amending Condition No. 5:

- Exterior building lighting shall be wall mounted. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 15 feet in height and shall be of a decorative nature with downward-directed lights.

Amending Condition No. 6:

- All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened on views from the abutting streets and neighboring properties.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 120 – Z-0073-99(1)

MOTION – Continued:

Adding the following conditions:

- A Master Sign Plan, which allows only monument freestanding signage, shall be submitted for administrative approval prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for any building on the site.
- The building elevations for Phase II shall be compatible in terms of façade design and materials with the building elevations approved under this request (Z-0073-99(1))
- Normal business operations shall be limited to Monday through Friday during the hours of 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. although it is understood that special conditions typical to medical and/or dental practices may occasionally require operating beyond these normal hours.
- Carports shall be limited to six spaces in Phase I, a maximum height of eight feet three inches (8'3"), and shall meet all requirements of Title 19A and Z-0073-99(1) for setbacks.

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Combined Verbatim Transcript of Item 120 [Z-0073-99(1)] and Item 122 [VAC-0002-01] is made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 120 [Z-0073-99(1)].

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BILL CURRAN, CURRAN AND PARRY, 601 So. Rancho Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant. He stated that prior to this application being approved by the Planning Commission he had worked with COUNCILMAN BROWN, the City Attorney's Office and staff as well as met with the neighbors.

LANCE OLSON, 3601 Hazel Dell, a neighboring resident explained that he was excited that this property was finally being developed but he was disappointed with the lack of communication on the part of the developer, specifically meeting with the residents just prior to the onset of this Council meeting. He addressed several issues, including landscaping, setbacks, and lighting but his foremost concern was that the applicant when developing the next phase of this project, consider the ideas and opinions of the neighboring residents.

JOE MANN, 7533 Grenfell Drive, whose property adjoins the proposed project, commented that he would prefer the overall appearance of the building be consistent with the adjacent businesses rather than the red-brick surface that is proposed.

COUNCILMAN BROWN explained that the applicant preferred the brick appearance, although it would be more costly, and felt it would be a visual enhancement to the neighborhood.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 120 – Z-0073-99(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. CURRAN asked for a clarification of ‘shoe-box’ as related to lighting fixtures. After an explanation by staff, COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that the wording to that condition would remain.

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked to clarify for the record that Condition No. 9 relating to the landscaping plan as submitted may in fact include a waiver in it by Title 19, essentially having a 15-foot landscaping strip on the Buffalo frontage and taking 5-feet of that strip and putting it back against the neighborhood. COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that he wanted to ensure that that condition as adopted, which may include the waiver, be reviewed by staff.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 122 [VAC-0002-01] for related discussion.
(3:04 – 3:19/5:28)
5-1660/7-256

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. The site frontages along Buffalo Drive and Gowan Road shall be landscaped, as depicted on the landscape plan as amended, prior to occupancy of this building.
3. No utility vault exceeding 27 cubic feet in size may encroach into approved landscape areas along public street frontages. Additionally, no waivers from required landscaping or approved signage standards shall be allowed due to the placement of any utility vaults.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 120 – Z-0073-99(1)

CONDITIONS - CONTINUED:

5. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed buildings. Lighting standards within the parking lots shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights.
6. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
7. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC section 19A.08.060(C).
8. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be provided as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. For non-residential developments, failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
9. A landscaping plan shall be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit or license, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.

Public Works

10. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
11. Landscape and maintain all unimproved rights-of-way on Buffalo Drive and Gowan Road adjacent to this site as required by the Department of Public Works.
12. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements located in the Buffalo Drive and Gowan Road public rights-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.
13. Site development to comply with all applicable Conditions of Approval for Z-73-99 and all other site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0050-81(1) - MARIO AND NORMA QUINONEZ** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Waiver of the Required Landscaping FOR IMPROVEMENTS TO AN EXISTING 1,350 SQUARE FOOT BARBER SHOP located at 2220 East Bonanza Road (APN: 139-35-511-041), P-R (Professional Office and Parking) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions and adding the following condition:

- Applicant to work with staff on signage.

– UNANIMOUS

NOTE: COUNCILMAN REESE disclosed that he owns a barber shop across the street from the applicant and welcomes this new business. He does not believe there is any conflict and will participate in the discussion and process.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his brother owns a pawnshop adjacent to this property.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 121 – Z-0050-81(1)

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

Accompanied by an interpreter, NORMA QUINONEZ, 2220 E. Bonanza Road, the applicant, appeared and asked if this application is approved, how soon it would be before she could conduct her business. She was informed that she could start right away. Regarding her question on cutting the sidewalk, COUNCILMAN REESE explained that it would be her responsibility and expense.

COUNCILMAN REESE asked the interpreter if MS. QUINONEZ understood all of the conditions including the added condition that she work with staff to determine the appropriate signage. He also asked that she be informed that any future new businesses would be required to meet the same conditions. For the record, MS. QUINONEZ acknowledged she understood.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:09 – 2:13)

5-1153

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years from date of approval, the Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. The site plan shall be revised to depict a minimum of six parking spaces. The van accessible handicap space shall be placed adjacent to the east property line. Two additional parking spaces shall be located adjacent to the north side of the building, opposite the handicap space. Two parking spaces shall be located adjacent to the west side of the building, accessed from 23rd Street. One additional parking space shall be located adjacent to the rear of the building, accessed from 23rd Street. Provide five-foot wide walkways in front of the parking spaces paralleling Bonanza Road and 23rd Street and along the west side of the building. The applicant is encouraged to work with staff to implement this condition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 121 – Z-0050-81(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

3. The landscape plan shall be revised to depict a minimum five-foot wide landscape planter along Bonanza Road, a six-foot wide landscape planter along 23rd Street, a thirty-foot long, four-foot wide landscape planter along the south (rear) property line, adjacent to 23rd Street; a six-foot wide landscape planter along the east property line located between Bonanza Road and the existing wood fence, and provide landscaping on the northwest corner of the site adjacent to the intersection of Bonanza Road and 23rd Street. The applicant shall provide a total of five 24-inch box trees: three trees to be located along Bonanza Road and two trees along 23rd Street. All shrubs and groundcover shall adhere to the minimum requirements of the Las Vegas Urban Design Guidelines and Standards. The applicant is encouraged to work with staff to implement this condition.
4. This use shall be reviewed in two years to ensure compliance with the Title 19A Parking Standards (Section 19A.10) and the Las Vegas Urban Design Guidelines and Standards.

Public Works

5. Dedicate a 25-foot radius on the southeast corner of Twenty Third Street and Bonanza Road prior to the issuance of any permits.
6. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
7. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. All new driveways or modifications to existing driveways shall be designed, located and constructed to meet the intent of Standard Drawing #222a.
8. Landscape and maintain all unimproved right-of-way on Bonanza Road adjacent to this site.
9. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements located in the Bonanza Road public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 121 – Z-0050-81(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Standard Conditions

10. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be provided as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. For non-residential developments, failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
11. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit or license, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.
12. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0002-01 - KMW, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF WINDSOR GROUP - Petition to Vacate U.S. Government Patent Easements generally located at the northeast corner of Buffalo Drive and Gowan Road, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition No. 4 as follows:

- The Order of Relinquishment of Interest shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that *Condition No. 1* may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.

– UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 122 – VAC-0002-01

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: A Combined Verbatim Transcript of Item 120 [Z-0073-99(1)] and Item 122 [VAC-0002-01] is made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 120 [Z-0073-99(1)].

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, asked for concurrence to amend Condition No. 4.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item No. 120 [Z-0073-99(1)] for related discussion
(3:04 – 3:19/5:28)
5-1660/7-256

CONDITIONS:

1. All improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
2. Development of these sites shall comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-73-99 and all other subsequent site-related actions.
3. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments.
4. The Order of Relinquishment of Interest shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #2 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 122 – VAC-0002-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

5. If the Order of Relinquishment of Interest is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or the Planning Commission does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0018-01 - LAULE BECKER II - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW A PROPOSED MINI-STORAGE BUILDING TO BE SETBACK 71 FEET FROM A RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY LINE WHERE THE RESIDENTIAL ADJACENCY STANDARDS REQUIRE A SETBACK OF 99 FEET on the northeast corner of Decatur Boulevard and Alta Drive (APN's: 139-31-202-002 through 006), Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0-1) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	1
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	11

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	1
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0-1) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BARRY BECKER, 50 South Jones Boulevard, explained that this shopping center was built in the mid 1960's. He is proposing to relocate several of the long-term lease tenants and then eliminate 22,000 square feet of the area to be replaced with a new Walgreen Store. He stated that it was not possible to tear down the entire shopping center because of those tenants that will remain. He said the renovation would brighten the community and definitely enhance the area.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 123 – V-0018-01

MINUTES – Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, made reference to the landscaping and stated that if future buildings are torn down, the applicant should replace them with the required landscaping as noted in the conditions.

YVONNE BENEFIELD, 4625 Nolan Avenue, stated that not all of the neighbors were notified of this project. Representing the neighborhood, she composed a letter listing the issues to be considered. She addressed the height of the mini-storage building, the variance on the setback from the residential properties, landscaping, the block wall of the mini-storage building and the three-story metal mini-storage appearance.

JUANITA CLARK, Charleston Neighborhood Preservation, commented on the setbacks and the height of the mini-storage building. She stated that the mini-storage building reminded her of Quonset huts because of its metal-structured appearance.

BARRY BECKER addressed both MS. BENEFIELDS'S and MS. CLARK'S concerns by displaying photographs of the proposed mini-storage building. He stated that the buildings are metal fabrications but are coated with a stucco material and would have no resemblance to a Quonset hut. COUNCILMAN McDONALD asked MS. BENEFIELD to view the photographs so she might have a visual concept of the proposed building. COUNCILMAN McDONALD stated that MR. BECKER affirmed that the building texture would have a stucco look and would have continuity with the neighborhood. Regarding the landscaping, MR. BECKER explained that he plans to rearrange the oleander presently lining the perimeter of the property by alternately filling in palms and pine trees, the varying heights thereby producing not only a three-tiered buffer but a landscaping screen.

MR. BECKER explained that the eight-foot wall will be removed and the rear of the mini-storage will serve as a permanent wall and eliminate the homeless sleeping in vacant spots within the complex.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD referenced the neighborhood meetings and commended the involvement of the residents. With the focus on redevelopment, revitalization of shopping centers such as this will achieve the City's goal of community preservation.

In closing, MR. BECKER referenced the list of conditions that he requested be altered. ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, stated that there is a problem with the parking lot serving a commercial property in a residential zone. A rezoning request for PR or General Plan Amendment will allow parking with office designation on that parcel.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 123 – V-0018-01

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. BECKER stated that he did several random surveys of vehicle traffic in other shopping centers and although the requirement for a Walgreen is 60 spaces, the average counted was between 15 to 20 cars. COUNCILMAN McDONALD asked if he would prefer to leave that portion out and refile an application at a later date. MR. BECKER agreed.

BART ANDERSON, Public Works, read over the list of requested condition changes, agreeing on some items and noting that some conditions need to remain as stated. He noted that MR. BECKER'S numbered listing did not match staff's numbered list of conditions and recommended that the applicant work with staff to come to resolution.. MR. BECKER disclosed that he based his request using the conditions presented at the Planning Commission.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item No. 123 [V-0018-01], for related discussion pertaining to Item No. 124 [V-0020-01], and Item No. 125 [Z-0080-65(3)].

(3:25 – 3:53)

5-2510/6-1

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Variance is not exercised within two (2) years from date of approval by the City Council it will become void unless an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments which are not affected by approval of this Variance must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0020-01 - LAULE BECKER II - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW 190 PARKING SPACES WHERE 230 SPACES ARE THE MINIMUM REQUIRED on the northeast corner of Decatur Boulevard and Alta Drive (APN's 139-31-202-002 through 006), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****11****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****1****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:**M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

NOTE: Subsequent to the vote for approval the vote board did not display M.McDONALD'S vote. As maker of the motion, it was verified his vote was in the affirmative.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 124 – V-0020-01

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item No. 123 [V-0018-01], Item No. 124 [V-0020-01], and Item No. 125 [Z-0080-65(3)] took place under Item No. 123.

(3:25 – 3:53)

5-2510/6-1

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Variance is not exercised within two (2) years from date of approval by the City Council it will become void unless an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. Any change in use requires a parking analysis be reviewed by the Planning and Development Department prior to the issuance of any building permits, business licenses, or certificate of occupancy.
3. City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments which are not affected by approval of this Variance must be satisfied.
4. This Variance shall be subject to a one (1) year review.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO V-0018-01 AND V-0020-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0080-65(3) - LAULE BECKER II** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 48,116 SQUARE FOOT COMMERCIAL CENTER AND A WAIVER OF LANDSCAPING REQUIREMENTS TO ALLOW A REDUCTION IN THE AMOUNT OF PERIMETER AND PARKING LOT LANDSCAPING on the northeast corner of Decatur Boulevard and Alta Drive (APN's: 139-31-202-002 through 006), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****11****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****1****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions and adding the following conditions:

1. Applicant to work with staff to address Corrections to Conditions of Approval
2. Deleting the triangular parcel south of Alta designated as a satellite parking lot

Amending Condition No. 12:

- Provide other drainage-related information as acceptable to staff in a Drainage Letter in lieu of a Technical Drainage Study

- UNANIMOUS

NOTE: Subsequent to the vote for approval the vote board did not display M.McDONALD'S vote. As maker of the motion, it was verified his vote was in the affirmative.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 125 – Z-0080-65(3)

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussions for Item No. 123 [V-0018-01], Item No. 124 [V-0020-01], and Item No. 125 [Z-0080-65(3)] took place under Item No. 123.

(3:25 – 3:53)

5-2510/6-1

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. The site plan shall be amended to include a minimum of four loading spaces per section 19A.10.020 of the Las Vegas Zoning Code.
3. The landscape/site plan shall be revised to portray minimum fifteen (15) foot wide landscape planters adjacent to all public rights-of-way. The planters shall include a minimum of one 24-inch box tree for every twenty linear feet of planter plus one additional 24-inch box tree.
4. The landscape plan shall be amended to indicate landscape finger islands within the parking area at a ratio of one tree for every six parking spaces. The islands shall have a minimum planter width of five feet and a minimum length equal to the length of the adjacent parking space. Landscape islands must be located at the end of each row of parking spaces and contain one 24-inch box tree.
5. The elevations shall be amended to depict some compatibility of scale, color, materials, or design motifs that will allow this project to blend into its setting. In addition, the building elevations should incorporate the use of strong vertical and/or horizontal reveals, offsets, and three-dimensional detail between surface planes to create shadow lines and break up flat surface areas.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 125 – Z-0080-65(3)

CONDITIONS - Continued:

6. A master signage plan for all free-standing and wall signage shall be submitted for approval of Planning and Development Department staff prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for any building on the site.
7. All crosshatched areas on the site plan (painted on striping) shall be replaced with curbing and landscaping. This shall not apply to handicap accessible access aisles.

Public Works

8. Dedicate an additional 3 feet of right-of-way for a total radius of 15 feet on the southwest corner of Portsmouth Way and Mayflower Lane. Additional rights-of-way may also be required in accordance with the approved Traffic Impact Analysis.
9. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards prior to occupancy of this site.
10. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in redesigning the proposed driveway layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
11. An update to the previously approved Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 125 – Z-0080-65(3)

CONDITIONS - Continued:

Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

12. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.
13. Landscape and maintain all unimproved rights-of-way on Alta Drive and Decatur Boulevard adjacent to this site.
14. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements located in the Alta Drive and Decatur Boulevard public rights-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.

Standard Conditions

15. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed buildings. Lighting standards within the parking lots shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights.
16. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
17. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC section 19A.08.060(C).

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 125 – Z-0080-65(3)

CONDITIONS - Continued:

18. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
19. A landscaping plan shall be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0028-01** -
GATEWAY MOTEL, INC. ON BEHALF OF REAGAN NATIONAL ADVERTISING -

Appeal filed by Reagan Outdoor Nevada from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request by Gateway Motel, Inc. on behalf of Reagan National Advertising for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED 45 FOOT TALL, 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN at 928 Las Vegas Boulevard South (APN: 139-34-410-165), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at the meeting: Seven-paged illustrations of proposed renovations to subject property

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Granted the Appeal; thereby **APPROVING** the Special Use Permit subject to conditions and adding the following condition:

- Two signs located on 4th Street to be removed.
- **UNANIMOUS** with **MAYOR GOODMAN** abstaining because of his partnership with **ATTORNEY JAY BROWN**.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 126 – U-0028-01

MINUTES – Continued:

ATTORNEY JAY BROWN, 520 South 4th Street and LEE CODY, General Manager of Reagan National Advertising, referenced the packet of proposals listing projected improvements to the subject property, installing new grass and shrubs, restoring fences, removal of the existing asphalt and dismantling two signs located on 4th Street. ATTORNEY BROWN specifically addressed the revised blueprint that indicated new signs to be 28 x 24 feet.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, felt that none of the signs were appropriate. He expressed that revitalizing this corner would be in keeping with the new 2020 standards.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY commented that he had several productive meetings with the applicant. He agreed that this corner is indeed part of the gateway to this area and upgrading the motel property would be in keeping with the beautification aspect of this district.

Although ATTORNEY BROWN had indicated the proposed size of the new signs, COUNCILMAN BROWN informed him that the packet of information and the overhead graphics illustrated a larger-sized sign. ATTORNEY BROWN verified the signs would be 28 feet high and 24 feet wide.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:53 – 4:02)

6-250

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in one year at which time the City Council may require the off-premise sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 126 – U-0028-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

3. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.
4. All existing off-premise advertising (billboard) sign structures within the subject property along Fourth Street shall be removed prior to the issuance of a sign permit for this off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.
5. Landscaping shall be installed around the base of this off-premise advertising (billboard) sign supporting structure, to the approval of Planning and Development Department staff.

Public Works

6. Dedicate a 10 foot radius on the northwest corner of Charleston Boulevard and Las Vegas Boulevard prior to the issuance of any permits. Coordinate with the Right-of-way Section of the Department of Public Works for the preparation of the required legal documents.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0036-01 - BOLZ, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ET AL ON BEHALF OF SHIRLEY STEVENS - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR PSYCHIC ARTS at 1717 South Decatur Boulevard (APN: 162-06-301-002), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). [Note: This item to be heard in conjunction with Morning Session Item #83] The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to condition – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

SHIRLEY STEVENS, 1717 South Decatur Boulevard, agreed with staff's recommendation.

JUANITA CLARK, Charleston Neighborhood Preservation, stated that her group strongly opposes the location of this business within the Fantastic Indoor Swapmeet. Because of the nature of MS. STEVENS' business and in the course of conducting this business, MS. CLARK alleges that there would be an imposition on the adjoining tenants and their customers.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 127 – U-0036-01

MINUTES – Continued:

MS. STEVENS responded that the Swapmeet management assigned her an area between the diner and a florist so there would be no interference with others.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD affirmed that there were no complaints when MS. STEVENS was located in the Charleston area. He stated that Psychic reading is an art and believes MS. STEVENS will continue to be a good neighbor and do a good job.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussions relating to Item No. 127 [U-0036-01] and Item No. 83 [Change of Location and Business Name] took place under Item No. 127.

(4:02 – 4:07)

6-510

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within one (1) year after the approval by City Council, then this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0037-01 - VEGAN DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION ON BEHALF OF ON THE WEB MARKETING GROUP, INC. -**

Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A WAREHOUSE/DISTRIBUTION CENTER FOR A MAIL-ORDER BUSINESS at 7310 Smoke Ranch Road # D (APN: 138-15-410-022), C-PB (Planned Business Park) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:**BROWN – APPROVED** subject to conditions and adding the following condition:

- This Special Use Permit shall be for Suite D only, and shall not be valid for any other tenant space within the building at 7310 Smoke Ranch Road.

– UNANIMOUS**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY BOB GRONAUER, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

COUNCILMAN BROWN inquired whether this application was specifically for Suite D and ATTORNEY GRONAUER acknowledged it was.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 128 – U-0037-01

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK interjected a concern that involved a similar request for a special use permit that started out as a mail-order business and transformed into a sexually-oriented business. He directed his comments to ATTORNEY GRONAUER. MAYOR GOODMAN then asked ATTORNEY GRONAUER to clarify what type of mail-order business would be conducted. He stated that it would be the sale of wood furniture, purchased on-line and shipped directly to the purchaser. In addressing COUNCILMAN MACK'S concerns, ATTORNEY GRONAUER stated that this is part of a Tech Park and different guidelines apply.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:07 – 4:11)

6-680

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within one year after the approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time has been granted.
2. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0039-01 - VEGAN DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A WAREHOUSE/ DISTRIBUTION CENTER at 7310 through 7340 Smoke Ranch Road (APN's: 138-15-410-003, 018 and 020 through 022) C-PB (Planned Business Park) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition No. 2 as follows:

- Any proposed warehouse being over 10,000 square feet must be brought before the City Council for a public hearing.

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY BOB GRONAUER, Kummer Kaempfer Bonner and Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked for staff's confirmation that under the C-PB zoning, no adult sexually-oriented business could set up as a retail e-mail internet. Staff confirmed this to be true. COUNCILMAN BROWN wanted assurance that individual suites could not be consolidated and expanded into larger warehouse-type sections. ATTORNEY GRONAUER acknowledged this concern.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 129 – U-0039-01

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:07 – 4:11)

6-680

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within one year after the approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time has been granted.
2. Each individual business is required to obtain administrative approval from the Planning and Development Department, prior to the issuance of a Business License.
3. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0002-01** - **ALBERT EUGENE, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-1 (Single Family Residential) TO: P-R (Professional Office and Parking) on 0.17 acres at 701 South Ninth Street (APN: 139-34-810-078), PROPOSED USE: 7,066 SQUARE FOOT OFFICE BUILDING, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****3****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY - ABEYANCE to 7/5/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JOHN KIDD, 9528 Scenic Sunset Drive, owner of the Partnership, stated that relative to each of the four items, the parking variance was his main concern. His proposal to construct a complete basement on the bottom floor to be used for storage was challenged by the Planning Commission as they felt the area might be converted into future office space. MR. KIDD expressed that this would not be possible as there are no windows and rental for the storage space would be substantial.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 130 – Z-0002-01

MINUTES – Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, felt this application should be denied. He compared this project to others, the difference being the owners knew exactly how many parking spaces would be required.

MAYOR GOODMAN mentioned that the problem that arises from the approval of so many variances and/or waivers is that eventually the neighbors complain about parking.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY commented that he met with the applicant and appreciated the efforts made to modify the site plans in response to various concerns. With a variety of issues still unresolved, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked MR. KIDD to contact him and they would work to achieve an amicable agreement.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussions for Item 130 [Z-0002-01], Item 131 [V-0002-01], Item 132 [V-0006-01], and Item 133 [Z-0002-01(1)] took place under Item 130.

(4:11 – 4:24)

6-810

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - VARIANCE RELATED TO Z-0002-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0002-01 - ALBERT EUGENE, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW 9 PARKING SPACES WHERE 19 PARKING SPACES ARE REQUIRED, AND TO ALLOW A LOT WIDTH OF 50 FEET WHERE 60 FEET IS THE MINIMUM REQUIRED at 701 South Ninth Street (APN: 139-34-810-078), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone, [PROPOSED: P-R (Professional Offices and Parking)], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL of the parking request for 9 spaces, and APPROVAL of the 50 foot lot width

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="4"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL of the parking request for 9 spaces, and APPROVAL of the 50 foot lot width, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY - ABEYANCE to 7/5/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussions for Item 130 [Z-0002-01], Item 131 [V-0002-01, Item 132 [V-0006-01], and Item 133 [Z-0002-01(1) took place under Item 130.

(4:11 – 4:24)

6-810

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - VARIANCE RELATED TO Z-0002-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0006-01 - ALBERT EUGENE, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW A ZERO FOOT CORNER SIDE YARD SETBACK WHERE 15 FEET IS THE MINIMUM SETBACK REQUIRED, AND TO ALLOW A FIVE FOOT SIDE YARD SETBACK WHERE 90 FEET IS THE MINIMUM SETBACK REQUIRED FOR RESIDENTIAL ADJACENCY at 701 South Ninth Street (APN: 139-34-810-078), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone [PROPOSED P-R (Professional Office and Parking)], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

3

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY - ABEYANCE to 7/5/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

There was no discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 132 – V-0006-01

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussions for Item 130 [Z-0002-01], Item 131 [V-0002-01, Item 132 [V-0006-01], and Item 133 [Z-0002-01(1) took place under Item 130.

(4:11 – 4:24)

6-810

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0002-01, V-0002-01 AND V-0006-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0002-01(1) - ALBERT EUGENE, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 7,066 SQUARE FOOT OFFICE BUILDING AND FOR A WAIVER OF THE LANDSCAPING REQUIREMENTS on 0.17 acres at 701 South Ninth Street (APN: 139-34-810-078), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone, [PROPOSED: P-R (Professional Office and Parking)], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

3

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY - ABEYANCE to 7/5/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 133 – Z-0002-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: All discussions for Item 130 [Z-0002-01], Item 131 [V-0002-01, Item 132 [V-0006-01], and Item 133 [Z-0002-01(1) took place under Item 130.

(4:11 – 4:24)

6-810

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0012-01** - **CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [PF (Public Facility) General Plan Designation] and C-V (Civic) TO: C-V (Civic) on 16.3 Acres on the east side of Redwood Street, between Oakey Boulevard and O'Bannon Drive (APN's: 163-02-302-001 and 163-02-303-001), PROPOSED USE: CITY PARK, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****2****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID ROARK, Manager, Real Estate and Asset Management, stated that this is a request for rezoning for a neighborhood park and staff concurs with all conditions.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 134 – Z-0012-01

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 135 [Z-0012-01(1) for related discussion.

(4:24 – 4:27)

6-1290

CONDITIONS:

Public Works

1. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements on Redwood Street adjacent to this site. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards prior to occupancy of this site.
2. A Traffic Impact Analysis or other information acceptable to the Traffic Engineering Division must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
3. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other information acceptable to the Flood Control Section of the Department of Public Works must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.

Standard Conditions

4. A Resolution of Intent with a one-year time limit.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0012-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0012-01(1) - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 16.3 ACRE CITY PARK on the east side of Redwood Street, between Oakey Boulevard and O'Bannon Drive (APN's: 163-02-302-001 and 163-02-303-001), C-V (Civic) Zone and U (Undeveloped) Zone [PF (Public Facility) General Plan Designation], PROPOSED: C-V (Civic), Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****2****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID ROARK, Manager, Real Estate and Asset Management, explained that this is the Site Development Plan for a neighborhood park and staff concurs with all conditions.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD thanked staff for their endeavors. He mentioned that this is one of the five parks to be constructed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 135 – Z-0012-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 134 [Z-0012-01] for related discussion.

(4:24 – 4:27)

6-1290

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The only lighting permitted after 10:00 p.m. shall be security lighting.

Public Works

2. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in redesigning the proposed driveway layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways should be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.

Standard Conditions

3. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
4. All development shall be in conformance with the Site Development plan and building elevations.
5. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be provided as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. For non-residential developments, failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
6. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit or license, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.
7. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be screened from view from the abutting streets (excluding single family development).

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 135 – Z-0012-01(1)

CONDITIONS - Continued:

8. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
9. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
10. Where new water mains are extended along streets and fire hydrants are not needed for protection of structures, hydrants shall be spaced at a maximum distance of 1,000 feet.
11. Provide plans showing accessible exterior routes from public transportation stops, accessible parking, passenger loading zones and public sidewalks to the accessible building entrance(s) with submittal of plans for building permits as required by the Department of Building and Safety. Accessible routes shall have running slopes and cross slopes in accordance with the applicable code.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0005-01 - CALVARY FOUR SQUARE GOSPEL CHURCH ON BEHALF OF SPRINT PCS** - Request for a General Plan Amendment from M (Medium Density Residential) to PF (Public Facility) of 4.06 Acres at 2929 Cedar Avenue (APN: 139-36-110-036), Ward 3 (Reese). Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission voted 4-1-2 on a motion for approval which is tantamount to DENIAL due to the failure of the Commission to obtain a super majority vote

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission voted 4-1-2 on a motion for approval which is tantamount to DENIAL due to the failure of the Commission to obtain a super majority vote. Staff recommends APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DON CATE, SPECTRUM, 7352 Arville Road, appeared on behalf of Sprint PCS and Four Square Gospel Church. He stated that a previous request for a Use Permit was not granted because of the existing zoning at the time. Since, Sprint has worked with the City and the owners to request a GPA and rezoning for more appropriate use of the property. The applicant agrees with all of the conditions except Condition No. 1 of GPA 0005-01.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 136 – GPA-0005-01

MINUTES - CONTINUED:

BART ANDERSON, Public Works, explained that this condition is standard for all developments and the property owner, in this case, Four Square Gospel Church, will need to comply with current code.

MR. CATE suggested the condition be applied to future development by the owner of the property, rather than Sprint PCS. MR. ANDERSON advised that the condition is appropriate and will remain and more than likely Sprint and the property owner can resolve this matter together. COUNCILMAN REESE agreed with staff and asked MR. CATE if he would rather abey these items to work out the details, but he elected to go forward and would reach some resolution with the Four Square Gospel Church

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussions for Item No. 136 [GPA-0005-01], Item No. 137 [Z-0006-01], and Item 138 [Z-0006-01(1)] took place under Item No. 136 [GPA-0005-01].

(4:27 – 4:34)

6-1380

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0005-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0006-01 - CALVARY FOUR SQUARE GOSPEL CHURCH ON BEHALF OF SPRINT PCS** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: C-V (Civic) on 4.06 Acres at 2929 Cedar Avenue (APN: 139-36-110-036), Ward 3 (Reese). Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission has no recommendation because the related General Plan Amendment failed to pass

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission has no recommendation because the related General Plan Amendment failed to pass. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 137 – Z-0006-01

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: All discussions for Item No. 136 [GPA-0005-01], Item No. 137 [Z-0006-01], and Item 138 [Z-0006-01(1)] took place under Item No. 136 [GPA-0005-01].

(4:27 – 4:34)

6-1380

CONDITIONS:

Public Works

1. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards.

Standard Conditions

2. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0005-01 AND Z-0006-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0006-01(1) - CALVARY FOUR SQUARE GOSPEL CHURCH ON BEHALF OF SPRINT PCS** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR AN ADDITIONAL ANTENNA TO BE LOCATED ON AN EXISTING 70 FOOT MONOPOLE TOWER on 4.06 Acres at 2929 Cedar Avenue (APN: 139-36-110-036), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, PROPOSED C-V (Civic), Ward 3 (Reese). Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission has no recommendation because the related General Plan Amendment failed to pass

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission has no recommendation because the related General Plan Amendment failed to pass. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 138 – Z-0006-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: All discussions for Item No. 136 [GPA-0005-01], Item No. 137 [Z-0006-01], and Item 138 [Z-0006-01(1)] took place under Item No. 136 [GPA-0005-01].

(4:27 – 4:34)

6-1380

CONDITIONS:

Public Works

1. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Application Z-06-01 and all other site-related actions as required by the Department of Public Works.

Standard Conditions

2. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the Site Development plan and building elevations.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - GPA-0006-01 -
CHARLESTON LINDELL #31 4401C AND 4401D ON BEHALF OF MILLENNIUM PROPERTIES - Request to Amend the Southwest Sector of the General Plan FROM: SC (Service Commercial) TO: GC (General Commercial) of 4.62 Acres on the southeast corner of Charleston Boulevard and Lindell Road (APN's: 163-01-501-001 and 002), Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (3-2-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	2
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (3-2-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Letter from Charleston Neighborhood Preservation Corporation
5. Submitted at meeting: List of 12 Proposed Conditions, Revised on 4/25/2001

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because a partner in his law firm is associated with this venture.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY CHRIS KAEMPFER and ATTORNEY RUSSELL ROWE, Kummer Kaempfer Bonner and Renshaw, appeared on behalf of the applicant and explained that this request is for a zoning change and various use permits for auto and marine sales. ATTORNEY KAEMPFER described the various businesses that are adjacent to or across from his client's proposed project but also mentioned several other establishments that have gone out of business. He emphasized the fact that this property has stood vacant for a number of years and the development of this parcel will greatly enhance the community and be good for the economy of the area.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 139 – GPA-0006-01

MINUTES - Continued:

ATTORNEY KAEMPFER spoke of several meetings with the adjacent residents, incorporating their concerns and ideas into workable solutions. He acknowledged receipt of many letters of support and received endorsements from the Charleston Neighborhood Corp. He introduced LINDA GOYNES, spokesperson for the adjoining neighbors, who commented that the adjacent residents are pleased with the anticipated development of this property since they have experienced so many problems with vagrants, trash accumulation, homes being robbed, fires being set, abandoned and torched vehicles and graffiti. If this project is approved, they look forward to security for the neighbors, elimination of fire and safety hazards, and an overall aesthetic improvement to the community.

ATTORNEY KAEMPFER read into the record a list of conditions proposed by the Home Owners' Association and the neighborhood residents. Referring to staff's recommendation to plant one tree at every sixth display space, ATTORNEY KAEMPFER explained that this would obstruct the view of the vehicles on display and fallen leaves and bird droppings could damage the cars. TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, questioned the landscape waiver. ATTORNEY KAEMPFER responded that as an alternative, he would increase the perimeter landscaping along Charleston Boulevard with low-level shrubbery.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD commented that most of the zoning in the surrounding areas were established in the 1960's and 1970's. He was concerned that rezoning this property to C-2 would encourage more used-car dealerships. COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD interjected that regardless of location, a used-car dealership or for that matter, any C-2-categorized business would be required to apply for a Special Use Permit. ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, confirmed that a request for a Special Use Permit runs with the land and if the proposed development did not materialize the permit would remain in place for a year; however, a different developer would be required to come before the City Council. COUNCILMAN McDONALD commented that previously if there were suitable applicants who met the standards, historically it was difficult to turn them down. COUNCILMAN REESE assured that whenever a Special Use Permit is requested, the City has the ability to set standards and to stipulate specific conditions.

JUANITA CLARK, Charleston Neighborhood Preservation, appeared and inquired about the type of businesses that are allowed in C-2 zones.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD reiterated two points, one being the 8-foot block wall must be continuous and secondly, vehicular traffic can exit onto Lindell Road but must proceed to Charleston Boulevard as opposed to going down Lindell Road. COUNCILMAN McDONALD concurred with the parking lot landscaping request.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 139 – GPA-0006-01

MINUTES - Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 139 [GPA-0006-01] for related discussion pertaining to, Item 140 [Z-0014-01], Item 141 [U-0040-01], Item 142 [U-0041-01], Item 143 [U-0042-01], and Item 144 [Z-0014-01(1)]. Additional discussions pertaining to Item 142 [U-0041-01] and Item 144 [Z-0014-01(1)] are shown under the respective items.

(4:34 – 5:29)

6-1610/7-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0006-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0014-01** -
CHARLESTON LINDELL #31 4401C AND 4401D ON BEHALF OF MILLENNIUM
PROPERTIES - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] TO: C-2 (General Commercial) of 4.62 Acres on the southeast corner of Charleston Boulevard and Lindell Road (APN's: 163-01-501-001 and 002), PROPOSED USE: USED MOTOR VEHICLES AND BOAT DEALERSHIP, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission has no recommendation because the related General Plan Amendment failed to pass

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission has no recommendation because the related General Plan Amendment failed to pass.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Letter from Charleston Neighborhood Preservation Corporation
5. Submitted at meeting: List of 12 Proposed Conditions, Revised on 4/25/2001

MOTION:

M. McDONALD - APPROVED subject to staff conditions, and the Neighbors and Home Owners Association's additional 12 conditions as amended (Conditions are noted under Item Nos. 141, 142, 143, and 144) – **UNANIMOUS** with GOODMAN abstaining because a partner in his law firm is associated with this venture.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 140 – Z-0014-01

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 139 [GPA-0006-01] for related discussion pertaining to, Item 140 [Z-0014-01], Item 141 [U-0040-01], Item 142 [U-0041-01], Item 143 [U-0042-01], and Item 144 [Z-0014-01(1)]. Additional discussions pertaining to Item 142 [U-0041-01] and Item 144 [Z-0014-01(1)] are shown under the respective items.

(4:34 – 5:29)

6-1610/7-1

CONDITIONS:

Public Works

1. Dedicate 40 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Lindell Drive and a 54 foot radius on the southeast corner of Charleston Boulevard and Lindell Drive prior to the issuance of any permits.
2. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving on Lindell Drive adjacent to the entire legal parcel and construct all incomplete half-street improvements (sidewalk) on Charleston Boulevard concurrent with development of this site.
3. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 140 – Z-0014-01

CONDITIONS - Continued:

dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

4. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

Standard Conditions

5. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
6. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO GPA-0006-01 AND Z-0014-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0040-01 - CHARLESTON LINDELL #31 4401C AND 4401D ON BEHALF OF MILLENNIUM PROPERTIES - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A MINOR AUTO REPAIR GARAGE WITH SERVICE BAYS THAT FACE A PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY on the southeast corner of Charleston Boulevard and Lindell Road (APN's: 163-01-501-001 and 002), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation], PROPOSED C-2 (General Commercial), Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission has no recommendation because the related General Plan Amendment failed to pass

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission has no recommendation because the related General Plan Amendment failed to pass.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Letter from Charleston Neighborhood Preservation Corporation
5. Submitted at meeting: List of 12 Proposed Conditions, Revised on 4/25/2001

MOTION:

M. McDONALD - APPROVED subject to staff conditions, and the Neighbors and Home Owners Association's additional 12 conditions as amended

- "No Parking" signs shall be placed along Lindell Road adjacent to the west property line of the subject site.
- The applicant shall provide a designated parking area on the subject site for after-hours viewing by customers.
- No test drives shall be conducted on Lindell Road, or on other residential streets in the vicinity of this request. The applicant agrees to undertake and enforce the following measures in an effort to assure compliance with this condition:

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 141 – U-0040-01

MOTION – Continued:

- a. Limit test drives to streets designated by the City's Master Plan of Streets and Highways as Primary Street (100-foot width);
 - b. Post notices warning employees that violation of the test drive requirements will result in fine, suspension and/or termination; and
 - c. Create a reward program for neighbors who report test-drives in residential neighborhoods to auto dealership management.
- Lighting on rear half of property shall be directed downward so as not to shine into the yards of adjacent residences.
 - On-site security will be provided during non-business hours.
 - Construct a minimum 3-foot block wall along east property line with 24-inch box trees, 20-30 feet on center.
 - Construct a minimum 3-foot decorative block wall along Lindell Road from the south property line to the southern-most driveway.
 - No speaker or paging systems as required by Code.
 - There shall be no construction of buildings within 100 feet of the south property line.
 - Vehicles over 8 feet in height shall not be parked within 10 feet of the south property line.
 - Hours of operation will be as follows:
 - Sales: Monday-Saturday, 8:00 A.M. – 9:00 P.M.
Sunday 10:00 A.M. – 5:00 P.M. (*Boat Sales Only*)
Car and truck sales prohibited on Sunday.
 - Service Bays: Monday-Friday, 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.
Saturday, 8:00 A.M. to Noon
Sunday, Closed.

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because a partner in his law firm is associated with this venture.

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting it was determined that Home Owners Association's Condition No. 6 was a duplication of the amended Condition No. 1 and was deleted.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 141 – U-0040-01

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 139 [GPA-0006-01] for related discussion pertaining to, Item 140 [Z-0014-01], Item 141 [U-0040-01], Item 142 [U-0041-01], Item 143 [U-0042-01], and Item 144 [Z-0014-01(1)]. Additional discussions pertaining to Item 142 [U-0041-01] and Item 144 [Z-0014-01(1)] are shown under the respective items.

(4:34 – 5:29)

6-1610/7-1

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to the conditions of approval of Z-0014-01(1) as required by the Planning Department and the Department of Public Works.
2. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two (2) years after the approval by City Council, then this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
3. No used or discarded automotive parts or equipment or disabled, junked, or wrecked vehicles shall be located in any open area outside the main structure.
4. Noise from bells or loudspeakers shall not be audible beyond the property line at any time.

Standard Conditions

5. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO GPA-0006-01 AND Z-0014-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0041-01 - CHARLESTON LINDELL #31 4401C AND 4401D ON BEHALF OF MILLENNIUM PROPERTIES - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A NEW AND USED BOAT & TRAILER DEALERSHIP on the southeast corner of Charleston Boulevard and Lindell Road (APN's: 163-01-501-001 and 002), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation], PROPOSED C-2 (General Commercial), Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission has no recommendation because the related General Plan Amendment failed to pass

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission has no recommendation because the related General Plan Amendment failed to pass.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Letter from Charleston Neighborhood Preservation Corporation
5. Submitted at meeting: List of 12 Proposed Conditions, Revised on 4/25/2001

MOTION:

M. McDONALD - APPROVED subject to staff conditions, and the Neighbors and Home Owners Association's additional 12 conditions as amended

- "No Parking" signs shall be placed along Lindell Road adjacent to the west property line of the subject site.
- The applicant shall provide a designated parking area on the subject site for after-hours viewing by customers.
- No test drives shall be conducted on Lindell Road, or on other residential streets in the vicinity of this request. The applicant agrees to undertake and enforce the following measures in an effort to assure compliance with this condition:

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 142 – U-0041-01

MOTION – Continued:

- a. Limit test drives to streets designated by the City's Master Plan of Streets and Highways as Primary Street (100-foot width);
 - b. Post notices warning employees that violation of the test drive requirements will result in fine, suspension and/or termination; and
 - c. Create a reward program for neighbors who report test-drives in residential neighborhoods to auto dealership management.
- Lighting on rear half of property shall be directed downward so as not to shine into the yards of adjacent residences.
 - On-site security will be provided during non-business hours.
 - Construct a minimum 3-foot block wall along east property line with 24-inch box trees, 20-30 feet on center.
 - Construct a minimum 3-foot decorative block wall along Lindell Road from the south property line to the southern-most driveway.
 - No speaker or paging systems as required by Code.
 - There shall be no construction of buildings within 100 feet of the south property line.
 - Vehicles over 8 feet in height shall not be parked within 10 feet of the south property line.
 - Hours of operation will be as follows:
 - Sales: Monday-Saturday, 8:00 A.M. – 9:00 P.M.
Sunday 10:00 A.M. – 5:00 P.M. (*Boat Sales Only*)
Car and truck sales prohibited on Sunday.
 - Service Bays: Monday-Friday, 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.
Saturday, 8:00 A.M. to Noon
Sunday, Closed.

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because a partner in his law firm is associated with this venture.

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting it was determined that Home Owners Association's Condition No. 6 was a duplication of the amended Condition No. 1 and was deleted.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 142 – U-0041-01

MINUTES – Continued:

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, stated that the time of the Sunday sales needed to be established. COUNCILMAN McDONALD stated that sales would be from 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. but the service bays would be closed on Sundays. COUNCILMAN REESE asked whether any other used-car lots operate on Sundays. He added that he has always supported closing on Sundays. MR. GENZER clarified that within the County, on the south side, car sales were prohibited on Sundays; however, he does know that there are dealerships that operate on Sundays. COUNCILMAN McDONALD recalled that in 1995, the matter surfaced. MR. GENZER stated that specifically, dealerships were mandated to be closed on Sundays. COUNCILMAN McDONALD motioned that boat sales would be allowed on Sundays during the hours specified. Car and truck sales would be prohibited and the service bays would remain closed on Sundays.

DWIGHT PERSINGER, 2212 Purple Majesty Court, stated that because companies such as his have no franchise power to advertise, he believes the extra day would make a difference as most people shop on Saturdays and Sundays. ATTORNEY KAEMPFER explained that this application is for used trucks and new boats and historically, most sales are attributed to weekend purchases. He expressed his aspiration to see MR. PERSINGER'S business succeed.

NOTE: See Item 139 [GPA-0006-01] for related discussion pertaining to, Item 140 [Z-0014-01], Item 141 [U-0040-01], Item 142 [U-0041-01], Item 143 [U-0042-01], and Item 144 [Z-0014-01(1)]. Additional discussions pertaining to Item 142 [U-0041-01] and Item 144 [Z-0014-01(1)] are shown under the respective items.

(4:34 – 5:29)

6-1610/7-1

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to the conditions of approval of Z-0014-01(1) as required by the Planning Department and the Department of Public Works.
2. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two (2) years after the approval by City Council, then this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 142 – U-0041-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

3. No used or discarded automotive parts or equipment or disabled, junked, or wrecked vehicles shall be located in any open area outside the main structure.
4. Noise from bells or loudspeakers shall not be audible beyond the property line at any time.

Standard Conditions

5. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO GPA-0006-01 AND Z-0014-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0042-01 - CHARLESTON LINDELL #31 4401C AND 4401D ON BEHALF OF MILLENNIUM PROPERTIES** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR USED MOTOR VEHICLE SALES on the southeast corner of Charleston Boulevard and Lindell Road (APN's: 163-01-501-001 and 002), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation], PROPOSED C-2 (General Commercial), Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission has no recommendation because the related General Plan Amendment failed to pass

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

2

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission has no recommendation because the related General Plan Amendment failed to pass.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Letter from Charleston Neighborhood Preservation Corporation
5. Submitted at meeting: List of 12 Proposed Conditions, Revised on 4/25/2001

MOTION:

M. McDONALD - APPROVED subject to staff conditions, and the Neighbors and Home Owners Association's additional 12 conditions as amended

- "No Parking" signs shall be placed along Lindell Road adjacent to the west property line of the subject site.
- The applicant shall provide a designated parking area on the subject site for after-hours viewing by customers.
- No test drives shall be conducted on Lindell Road, or on other residential streets in the vicinity of this request. The applicant agrees to undertake and enforce the following measures in an effort to assure compliance with this condition:

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 143 – U-0042-01

MOTION – Continued:

- a. Limit test drives to streets designated by the City's Master Plan of Streets and Highways as Primary Street (100-foot width);
 - b. Post notices warning employees that violation of the test drive requirements will result in fine, suspension and/or termination; and
 - c. Create a reward program for neighbors who report test-drives in residential neighborhoods to auto dealership management.
- Lighting on rear half of property shall be directed downward so as not to shine into the yards of adjacent residences.
 - On-site security will be provided during non-business hours.
 - Construct a minimum 3-foot block wall along east property line with 24-inch box trees, 20-30 feet on center.
 - Construct a minimum 3-foot decorative block wall along Lindell Road from the south property line to the southern-most driveway.
 - No speaker or paging systems as required by Code.
 - There shall be no construction of buildings within 100 feet of the south property line.
 - Vehicles over 8 feet in height shall not be parked within 10 feet of the south property line.
 - Hours of operation will be as follows:
 - Sales: Monday-Saturday, 8:00 A.M. – 9:00 P.M.
Sunday 10:00 A.M. – 5:00 P.M. (*Boat Sales Only*)
Car and truck sales prohibited on Sunday.
 - Service Bays: Monday-Friday, 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.
Saturday, 8:00 A.M. to Noon
Sunday, Closed.

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because a partner in his law firm is associated with this venture.

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting it was determined that Home Owners Association's Condition No. 6 was a duplication of the amended Condition No. 1 and was deleted.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 143 – U-0042-01

MINUTES - CONTINUED:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 139 [GPA-0006-01] for related discussion pertaining to, Item 140 [Z-0014-01], Item 141 [U-0040-01], Item 142 [U-0041-01], Item 143 [U-0042-01], and Item 144 [Z-0014-01(1)]. Additional discussions pertaining to Item 142 [U-0041-01] and Item 144 [Z-0014-01(1)] are shown under the respective items.

(4:34 – 5:29)

6-1610/7-1

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to the conditions of approval of Z-0014-01(1) as required by the Planning Department and the Department of Public Works.
2. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two (2) years after the approval by City Council, then this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
3. No used or discarded automotive parts or equipment or disabled, junked, or wrecked vehicles shall be located in any open area outside the main structure.
4. Noise from bells or loudspeakers shall not be audible beyond the property line at any time.

Standard Conditions

5. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0006-01, Z-0014-01, U-0040-01, U-0041-01 AND U-0042-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0014-01(1) - CHARLESTON LINDELL #31 4401C AND 4401D ON BEHALF OF MILLENNIUM PROPERTIES -**

Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR AN 11,400 SQUARE FOOT COMMERCIAL BUILDING AND A WAIVER OF THE LANDSCAPING REQUIREMENTS TO ALLOW A REDUCTION IN THE AMOUNT OF PERIMETER AND PARKING LOT LANDSCAPING on the southeast corner of Charleston Boulevard and Lindell Road, (APN's: 163-01-501-001 and 002), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation], PROPOSED C-2 (General Commercial), Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission has no recommendation because the related General Plan Amendment failed to pass

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

2

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission has no recommendation because the related General Plan Amendment failed to pass.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Letter from Charleston Neighborhood Preservation Corporation
5. Submitted at meeting: List of 12 Proposed Conditions, Revised on 4/25/2001

MOTION:

M. McDONALD - APPROVED subject to staff conditions, and the Neighbors and Home Owners Association's additional 12 conditions as amended

- "No Parking" signs shall be placed along Lindell Road adjacent to the west property line of the subject site.
- The applicant shall provide a designated parking area on the subject site for after-hours viewing by customers.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 144 – Z-0014-01(1)

MOTION – Continued:

- No test drives shall be conducted on Lindell Road, or on other residential streets in the vicinity of this request. The applicant agrees to undertake and enforce the following measures in an effort to assure compliance with this condition:
 - a. Limit test drives to streets designated by the City's Master Plan of Streets and Highways as Primary Street (100-foot width);
 - b. Post notices warning employees that violation of the test drive requirements will result in fine, suspension and/or termination; and
 - c. Create a reward program for neighbors who report test-drives in residential neighborhoods to auto dealership management.
- Lighting on rear half of property shall be directed downward so as not to shine into the yards of adjacent residences.
- On-site security will be provided during non-business hours.
- Construct a minimum 3-foot block wall along east property line with 24-inch box trees, 20-30 feet on center.
- Construct a minimum 3-foot decorative block wall along Lindell Road from the south property line to the southern-most driveway.
- No speaker or paging systems as required by Code.
- There shall be no construction of buildings within 100 feet of the south property line.
- Vehicles over 8 feet in height shall not be parked within 10 feet of the south property line.
- Hours of operation will be as follows:

Sales:	Monday-Saturday, 8:00 A.M. – 9:00 P.M. <i>Sunday 10:00 A.M. – 5:00 P.M. (Boat Sales Only)</i> <i>Car and truck sales prohibited on Sunday.</i>
Service Bays:	Monday-Friday, 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. Saturday, 8:00 A.M. to Noon Sunday, Closed.

Amending Condition No. 1.

- If it is determined to be structurally capable, the existing six-foot block wall along the south and east property lines should be raised to eight feet *and must be contiguous* where adjacent to residential and of supporting the additional blocks. If

- the wall is not structurally capable, then the 24-inch box trees spaced at 10 feet on center along the south and east property lines shall be increased in size to 36-inch box; and

Amending Condition No. 2.

- The landscape planter along Lindell Road shall be increased to 15 feet in width *and the applicant to increase the perimeter landscaping along Charleston Boulevard with low-level shrubbery*; and

Amending Condition No. 3.

- There will be no access to this site from Lindell Road. *Vehicular traffic can exit onto Lindell Road but must proceed to Charleston Boulevard as opposed to going down Lindell Road.*

– **UNANIMOUS** with **GOODMAN** abstaining because a partner in his law firm is associated with this venture.

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting it was determined that Home Owners Association's Condition No. 6 was a duplication of the amended Condition No. 1 and was deleted.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

In the event the owner and developer is not able to conclude the transaction, ATTORNEY KAEMPFER asked for clarification for the benefit of the neighbors, as to what would occur should another developer wish to purchase this property for another use. COUNCILMAN REESE explained that the special use permits are specifically for the uses requested. If another applicant wished to purchase this property, that applicant would be required to come before City Council for another special use permit. CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT agreed with the explanation.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 139 [GPA-0006-01] for related discussion pertaining to, Item 140 [Z-0014-01], Item 141 [U-0040-01], Item 142 [U-0041-01], Item 143 [U-0042-01], and Item 144 [Z-0014-01(1)]. Additional discussions pertaining to Item 142 [U-0041-01] and Item 144 [Z-0014-01(1)] are shown under the respective items.

(4:34 – 5:29)

6-1610/7-1

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 144 – Z-0014-01(1)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If it is determined to be structurally capable, the existing six-foot block wall along the south and east property lines should be raised to eight feet where adjacent to residential and of supporting the additional blocks. If the wall is not structurally capable, then the 24-inch box trees spaced at 10 feet on center along the south and east property lines shall be increased in size to 36-inch box.
2. The landscape planter along Lindell Road shall be increased to 15 feet in width, and 24 inch box trees shall be provided within planters in the vehicle display area at a ratio of one tree for every six display spaces.
3. There shall be no access to this site from Lindell Road.

Public Works

4. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout and to address on-site circulation of delivery trucks through this site, including appropriate turning radii prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. No parking or deliveries to this site shall be permitted in the public right-of-way. Any new driveways or modifications to existing driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a and shall also comply with the requirements of the Nevada Department of Transportation.
5. Gated driveways, if such are proposed, may be placed immediately behind the back of sidewalk only if electrically operated. If the entry gate is to be manually opened and closed, the gate shall be set back a sufficient distance (a minimum of 18 feet) to allow a vehicle to pull completely out of the public right-of-way before parking to manually operate the gate as required by the Department of Public Works. The installation of either a swing gate or rolling gate is acceptable as long as no part of the gate, either in the opened or closed position, intrudes into the public right-of-way. The gates shall remain fully opened during normal business hours.
6. Submit an application for an Occupancy Permit for all landscaping and private improvements (driveways) in the Charleston Boulevard public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to the issuance of any permits.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 144 – Z-0014-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-14-01, on this same agenda, and all other subsequent site-related actions.

Standard Conditions

8. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
9. All development shall be in conformance with the Site Development plan and building elevations as amended by the above conditions.
10. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be provided as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. For non-residential developments, failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
11. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit or license, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.
12. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be screened from view from the abutting streets (excluding single family development).
13. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
14. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
15. Where new water mains are extended along streets and fire hydrants are not needed for protection of structures, hydrants shall be spaced at a maximum distance of 1,000 feet.
16. Fence heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 144 – Z-0014-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

17. Provide plans showing accessible exterior routes from public transportation stops, accessible parking, passenger loading zones and public sidewalks to the accessible building entrance(s) with submittal of plans for building permits as required by the Department of Building and Safety. Accessible routes shall have running slopes and cross slopes in accordance with the applicable code.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SET DATE ON ANY APPEALS FILED OR REQUIRED PUBLIC HEARINGS FROM THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION AND HEARINGS OFFICER MEETINGS AND DANGEROUS BUILDINGS OR NUISANCE/LITTER ABATEMENTS

U-0147-99(1), U-0182-00, U-0035-01, U-0043-01, U-0044-01, U-0046-01, U-0047-01, VAC-0006-01 – 6/6/2001 AGENDA

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: **BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

ADDENDUM:

ADMINISTRATIVE - DISCUSSION

75-A. Discussion and possible action regarding renewal or termination of contract with Clark County Public Defender for representation of indigents

See Page 75A

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE **CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2001**

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:

Items raised under this portion of the City Council Agenda cannot be deliberated or acted upon until the notice provisions of the Open Meeting Law have been met. If you wish to speak on a matter not listed on the agenda, please step up to the podium and clearly state your name and address. In consideration of others, avoid repetition, and limit your comments to no more than three (3) minutes. To ensure all persons equal opportunity to speak, each subject matter will be limited to ten (10) minutes.

MINUTES:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, expressed his concern regarding Council Members abstaining on items that are related to civic matters. He believes one of the reasons Council Members are voted into office is because of their affiliation and civic involvement. He states that constituents expect officials to vote on matters whether it be for or against. The second item he mentioned is related to the public safety element as outlined in the 2020 Master Plan which states that walking in this City is far more dangerous than driving or flying. He related an incident a few years ago that involved a mother pushing her child in a shopping cart. She had to divert around some magazine racks and in doing so, the cart overturned and the child was crushed. After litigation, the City determined that they could not remove the magazine racks because it was a form of free enterprise and free speech. He states that sooner or later the City will have to address this matter to prevent any reoccurrences of these types of accidents.

(5:29 – 5:31)

7-300

DOROTHY BARNES, 2575 Sherwood, Apt. 26A, spoke of attending the Commissioners Meeting and of her recent experience during a return trip to Las Vegas from Mexico, via Benson, Arizona.

(5:31 – 5:33)

7-420

COUNCILMAN MACK addressed COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and stated that if there are any new issues relating to MASH Village, he would be more than happy to serve on a committee with him.

(5:33 – 5:34)

7-530

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001
Citizens Participation

MINUTES – Continued:

JUANITA CLARK, Charleston Neighborhood Preservation, thanked COUNCILMAN MACK for commenting on a mail-order distribution center, whose initial business eventually developed into the sale of unanticipated items. She stated that she also had concerns regarding the approval of consent agenda items approving three gift shops. She referenced Item No. 135 regarding parks and asked what the radius determination is that draws people to a park.

(5:34 – 5:35)

7-550

THE MEETING ADJOURNED AT 5:35 P.M.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 16, 2001 NOTES AND DIRECTIVES

ITEM#55 - NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed MR. GOECKE to brief him and COUNCILMAN WEEKLY so that they can inform their constituents.

(9:33 – 9:35)

1-862

ITEM #74 - NOTE: COUNCILMAN REESE directed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to find out within the next two days the status of the lottery bill that was introduced during this legislative session and what impact, if any, it would have on the State.

(9:38 – 9:43)

1-1016

ITEM #75A - NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC to report back on the possibility of implementing credit checks on people requesting Public Defender services to ensure that they are actually in need of those services.

(9:43 – 9:54)

1-1209

ITEM#77 - NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN asked SERGEANT PAGE to contact MR. WINES and tell him that he needs to be present.

(9:54 – 9:55)

1-1613

ITEM #81 - NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY directed MR. HAUGSNESS to provide him with a list of those fields that the City is responsible for maintaining.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN instructed MR. HAUGSNESS to look into receiving recompense for the City for the schools it maintains.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN requested that MR. HAUGSNESS provide him with total School District "Park" acreage.

(9:56 – 10:35)

1-1697

ITEM #86 - NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN requested that he and COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and REESE have a meeting with representatives from the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department to address some of the issues that have stemmed from this matter.

(10:45 – 12:16)

2-253